



# HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

## BEFORE ADJUDICATING OFFICER

**Complaint No. 2607 of 2023**

Sahib Singh

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited

.....RESPONDENT

**Date of Hearing:-** 05.05.2025

**Hearing:-** 6th

**Present:-** Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Adv. for the complainant through VC.  
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Adv., for the IRP.  
None for the respondent.

### **ORDER**

Today, case is fixed for providing amended complaint with insertion of correct details of the respondent i.e. M/s Ansal Housing and Construction Ltd., in place of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited, for service.

2. Learned counsel for IRP has informed that vide order dated 25.02.2025, Hon'ble NCLT, New Delhi, has declared moratorium in respect of the present respondent company. She has further stated that present complaint is filed

  
5/5/2025

against wrong respondent i.e. Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd. instead of filing the same against M/s Ansal Housing and Construction Ltd.

3. Learned counsel for complainant has stated that he has filed a rectification application for amendment in Proforma B of complaint requesting to incorporate M/s Ansal Housing and Construction Ltd. as respondent instead of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.

4. Heard.

5. This Forum observes that, the complainant has no claim against M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited, presently arrayed as respondent, hence, impleading such party as respondent is legally incorrect. Further, though there is an application for rectification of complaint to array M/s Ansal Housing and Construction Ltd. instead of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited, but even if such rectification is allowed, the name of originally impleaded respondent still would remain in Proforma B, for want of procedure to get it changed. Hence, in the interest of justice, the complainant counsel is allowed to withdraw the present complaint to file afresh with required legal correction. Further, probably since the procedure to get the fee already paid adjusted for the new cause, the complainant would be

at liberty to get the same adjusted, if legally permissible as per instruction of the department on the subject.

Heard. Request allowed.

In view of the above observation and request of counsel for complainant, the present complaint for compensation is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to the complainant to file afresh in accordance with law.

Let, file be consigned to record room after uploading order on the website of the Authority.



**MAJOR PHALIT SHARMA**  
**ADSJ(Retd.)**  
**ADJUDICATING OFFICER**  
**05.05.2025**

Indu Yadav  
(Law Associate)