

## **BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM**

Versus

Complaint no.:381 of 2019Date of first hearing:16.07.2019Date of decision:10.12.2019

Ameeta Dahiya
 Sushil Dahiya
 R/o:House No. 21, Sector 17-A, Gurugram

Complainants

M/s Anant Raj Industries Limited H65,Connaught Circus ,New Delhi 110001

Respondent

CORAM: Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Member Member

APPEARANCE: Mr. Sushil Yadav

Mr. Sushil Yadav Advoo Mr. Rohit Aggarwal Advoo

Advocate for the complainants Advocate for the respondent

### ORDER

 A complaint dated 28.01.2019 was filed under section 31 of the Real Estate (Pegulation and Development Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the rules) by the complainants Ameeta Dahiya & Sushil Dahiya against the promoter M/s Anant Raj Industries Ltd., on account of violation of clause 7.1 of apartment buyer's



agreement (ABA, in short) executed on 17.05.2013, in respect of flat bearing no. M-1001, 10<sup>th</sup> floor, tower -M with super area of 1310 sq. ft. in the project "Maceo" at Sector 91, Gurugram for not handing over the possession by the due date which is an obligation on the promoter under section 11(4)(a) of the Act.

2. The particulars of the complaint are as under: -

1.	Name and location of the project	"Maceo", Sector-91, Gurugram
2.	Nature of the project	Group housing colony
3.	RERA registered/ not registered	Registered vide no. 63 of 2017 dated 18.08.2017
4.	RERA registration valid up to	17.08.2019
5.	Project area	15.575 acres
6.	DTCP license no.	71 of 2008 dated 25.03.2008
7.	Apartment/unit no.	M-1001, 10 <sup>th</sup> floor, tower M
8.	Payment plan annexed with the said agreement dated 17.05.2013	Construction linked payment plan (page 14 of complaint)
9.	Area of the allotted apartment	1310sq. ft.
10.	Date of apartment buyer's	17.05.2013(page 14 of complaint)
11.	Total consideration (as per statement of account at page no. 47 of the complaint )	Rs. 8,084,562/-
12.	Total amount paid by the complainants	Rs.6,972,067/-
	(as per statement of account at page no. 48 of the complaint )	



13.	Due date of delivery of possession as per clause 7.1 of the said agreement- i.e. 36 months + 180 days' grace period from the date of execution of agreement i.e. 17.05.2013	17.11.2016
14.	Date of Occupation Certificate	28.11.2019
15.	Date of Offer of Possession	30.11.2019
16.	Delay in Handing Over Possession	3 years 13 days
17.	Relief Sought (in specific terms)	To direct the respondent to handover the possession of the flat and pay interest for every month of delay in offering the possession of the apartment from 17.11.2016, on the amount taken from the complainants for the sale consideration and additional charges for the aforesaid apartment with interest at the prescribed rate.

3. The details provided above have been checked on the basis of the records available in the case file which have been provided by the complainants and the respondent. An apartment buyer's agreement dated 17.05.2013 is available on record for the aforementioned apartment according to which the possession of the aforesaid unit was to be delivered by 17.11.2016. The respondent has failed to fulfil its contractual obligation by neither delivering the possession within the stipulated period nor paying the compensation as per the terms of the agreement dated 17.05.2013.



- 4. The possession was offered to the complainants on 30.11.2019. The respondent has utterly failed in fulfilling their obligation of delivery of the unit as per the buyer's agreement and failed to offer the possession in terms of section 18 of the Act read with the Rules. Hence, this complaint for the aforementioned reliefs.
- 5. On the date of hearing the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- 6. The respondent contests the complaint inter alia on the grounds mentioned in the reply which according to him were beyond his control.

Arguments heard.

- As per clause 7.1. of the apartment buyer's agreement dated 17.05.2013 executed between the parties, the respondent was duty bound to deliver the possession of the allotted unit to the complainants within a period of 36 months plus 180 days as grace period which comes out to be 17.11.2016.
- Respondent has received the occupation certificate on 28.11.2019 and offered the possession of the allotted unit to



the complainants on 30.11.2019. However, the respondent has put the entire blame on the complainant-allottee by pleading that it is the complainant who has made violation of the terms of the buyer's agreement by not making timely scheduled payments of the sale consideration amount in time. Respondent has also made a futile attempt to take recourse to force majeure clause.

- Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. सत्यमेव जयते Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents.
- The Authority on the basis of information and explanation and other submissions made and the documents filed by both the parties is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- The Act is to protect the rights of the stake-holders i.e. the promoter, allottee and the real estate agent as provided under the Act and also to balance their interest as per its provisions. The Authority is empowered to not only monitor the projects but also to ensure their timely compliance and in



case where the projects are held up or stopped to take steps so that these are completed in time and interests of allottees are protected.

On consideration of the circumstances, the evidence and other record and submissions made by both the complainant and respondent and based on the findings of the authority regarding contravention as per provisions of rule 28(2)(a), the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 7.1 of the apartment buyer's agreement executed between the parties on 17.05.2013, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 36 months with 180 days grace period from the date of execution of agreement. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 17.11.2016. The respondent has offered possession of the subject unit to the complainants on 30.11.2019. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the buyer's agreement dated 17.05.2013 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the



mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established.

- As such the complainants are entitled for delayed possession charges w.e.f. 17.11.2016 to 30.11.2019 at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20.% p.a. as per provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules.
- 7. The authority, exercising powers vested in it under section 37 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 hereby issue the following directions to the respondent:
  - i. The respondent is directed to pay delayed possession charges at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% per annum w.e.f. 17.11.2016 to 30.11.2019 as per the provisions of section 18(1) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 read with rule 15 of the rules;
    ii. The complainants are directed to take over the possession of the unit within a period of 30 days by making the requisite payments to the respondent failing which the respondent shall be entitled to charge holding charges.



- iii. Interest on the due payments from the complainants shall be charged at the prescribed rate of interest i.e.
  10.20% p.a. by the promoter which is the same as is being granted to the complainant in case of delayed possession.
- iv. Complainants are directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
- v. The promoter shall not charge anything from the complainants which is not part of the apartment buyer's सत्यमेव जयते agreement.

8. Complaint stands disposed off.

9. Case file be consigned to the registry.

Subhash Chander Kush) (Sami Member Member

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Dated:10.12.2019



### HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह. सिविल लाईंस. गुरुग्राम. हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY				
Day and Date	Tuesday and 10.12.2019			
Complaint No.	CR/381/2019 Case titled as Ameeta Dahiya Sushil Dahiya V/S Anant Raj Limited			
Complainant	Ameeta Dahiya Sushil Dahiya			
Represented through	Complainant in person with Mr Sushil Yadav, Adv			
Respondent	Anant Raj Limited			
Respondent Represented through	Mr Rohit Aggarwal, Adv			
Last date of hearing	23.10.2019			
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari/S.L.Chanana			

### Proceedings

Arguments heard.

As per clause 7.1. of the BBA dated 17.05.2013 executed between the parties, the respondent was duty bound to deliver the possession of the allotted unit to the complainant within a period of 36 months plus six month as grace period which comes out to be 17.11.2016.

Respondent has received occupation certificate on 28.11.2019 and offered the possession of the allotted unit to the complainant on 30.11.2019.

As such, the complainant is entitled for delayed possession charges w.e.f. 17.11.2016 to 30.11.2019 at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20.% p.a.

The complainant is directed to take over the possession of the unit within a period of 30 days by making the requisite payments to the respondent failing which the respondent shall be entitled to charge holding charges.



# HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू–संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह.सिविल लाईंस.गुरुग्राम.हरियाणा New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

Complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.

The promoter shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the BBA.

Interest on the due payments from the complainant shall be charged at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% p.a. by the promoter which is the same as is being granted to the complainant in case of delayed possession.

Complaint stands disposed of. Detailed order will follow. File be consigned to the registry.

Subhash Chander Kush (Member)

Samir Kumar (Member) 10.12.2019