



Complaint No. 1681 of 2022

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1681 OF 2022

HRERA, Panchkula

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Gold Souk Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:

Parneet S Sachdev
Nadim Akhtar
Chander Shekhar

Chairman
Member
Member

Date of Hearing: 05.03.2025

Hearing: 11th

Present: Adv. Shubhnit Hans for the respondent through video conferencing.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)

This suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of project namely, 'Gold Souk Golf Link' which was registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL-MEW-106-2019 dated 10.07.2019 valid upto 31.12.2020.

2. The matter was considered by the Authority on 06.11.2024 wherein the request of respondent to waive off the penalty was rejected. Further, since MD/One of the Directors had not attended the proceedings as directed

✓

by the Authority, therefore, a cost of Rs. 1 Lac was imposed on the promoter. Further, the penalty of ₹25,000/- per day was continued till the date quarterly progress reports are filed by promoter. MD/one of the directors was directed to be physically present on the next date of hearing.


3. A copy of the order dated 06.11.2024 was sent to the promoter through registered post (which was returned undelivered) and through mail (delivered on 03.01.2025). On the last date of hearing, i.e., 08.01.2025, Adv. Shubhnit Hans appearing on behalf of respondent, informed that he will not be able to assist the Authority since there are no instructions from the client, therefore he requested for another opportunity. MD/one of the directors has also not appeared as directed by the Authority. Therefore, the Authority directed the respondent to show cause as to why registration be not revoked as per provisions of Section 7 of the RERA Act, 2016.

4. Today also, Adv. Shubhnit Hans appearing on behalf of respondent informed that he will not be able to assist the Authority since there are no instructions from the client. Reply to the show cause has also not been filed. There seem to be an intentional non-compliance of the provisions of the Act on the part of the respondent, therefore, the Authority decides to revoke the registration granted to the respondent. The registration granted to the respondent be transferred to the list of defaulter/cancelled projects. Further, the Authority is of the view that since the promoter has failed to deposit the cumulative penalty till today amounting Rs. 101.59/- lacs, therefore the

matter may be sent to the learned Adjudicating Officer (Execution) for recovering the said amount.

5. The Authority also directs the office to send a copy of these orders to the promoter through mail and registered post

6. Disposed of.


.....
Chander Shekhar
Member


.....
Nadim Akhtar
Member


.....
Parneet S Sachdev
Chairman