


12. Mukesh Nayer vs M/s Vatika Ltd. E/1310/2023

Present: - Mr. Abhijeet Gupta, Advocate for DH.
Ms. Ankur Berry, Advocate for the JD.

Arrest warrants were issued against Directors of JD namely Anil Bhalla, Surinder and Brij Kishore Singh, to be executable by the bailiff of the Authority, till today. Not arrested. I perused the report given by bailiff. One ASI Rampal is also present. It is submitted by him that he could not trace out the directors of JD. According to him, he requires a team of police officers to arrest said directors.

DCP (HQ), Gurugram was requested to provide enough police assistance to the bailiff to get said directors arrested. It appears that only one police official i.e. ASI Rampal was assigned and who could not arrest the directors of JD. He expresses his inability to arrest said directors alone. It appears that enough police assistance is not provided deliberately by DCP (HQ), Gurugram. Issue show cause notice to him as why reference for contempt of court proceedings be not made against him to Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. Reply, if any be filed till next date.

To come on 28.03.2025 for further proceedings.


(Rajender Kumar)
Adjudicating Officer,
03.03.2025