

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

Appeal No.495 of 2023
Date of Decision: January 29,2025

1. Madan Ram s/o Late Sh. Jhushal Ram and Madhavi W/o Sh. Madan Ram, R/o House No. Q-11-12-GF Park Elite Floors, Sector 75, Faridabad
2. Mudit Kumar Bisht s/o Sh. Kripal Singh and Vandana w/o Sh. Mudit Kumar R/o H. No. D-1250, Sainik Coony, Sector 49, Faridabad
3. Sucheta Deshpande w/o Satish Kumar Deshpande and Satish Kumar Deshpande s/o Sh. Chandershekhar Diwakar Deshpande, R/o 65, Raja Enclave Society, 44, near Ashiana Chowk, Pitampura, North West Delhi
4. Hem Lata w/o Sh. Murari Lal, r/o # B540, Kendriya Vihar, Sector 51, Noida
5. Venus Gautam w/o Sh. Devender Kumar Gautam and Devender Kumar Gautam s/o late Sh. Nathu Singh Gautam, r/o Flat No. 802, 8th Floor, Tower-9, NRI Residency, Plot no. GH-04/A, Sector 45, Noida, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh
6. Dr. Akhilesh Kumar s/o Sh. Ram Phal Sinha R/o A66 road No. 24 O, near SVM School,, Adarsh Vihar Colony, Rajiv Nagar, Keshari Nagar, Patna, Bihar.
7. Sonia Bansal w/o Sh. Anil Kumar Bansal and Ashish Kumar Bansal s/o Sh. Ravi Krishan Bansal, residents of C-34, New Township, BTPS Colony, Badarpur, South Delhi, Delhi

Appellants.

Versus

1. M/s BPTP Private Limited 28, ECE House, 1st Floor, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi 110001
2. Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. M-11 Middle Circle Connaught Circus, New Delhi 110001

3. M/s Business Park Maintenance Services Pvt. Ltd., M-11,
Middle Circle Connaught Circus, New Delhi 110001.

Respondents

Present : Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate for respondent No.1.

CORAM:

Justice Rajan Gupta
Rakesh Manocha

Chairman
Member (Technical)
(Joined through VC)

ORDER:

RAJAN GUPTA, CHAIRMAN (ORAL):

The appellants have raised certain grievances as regards lack of amenities in the project in question. For this purpose, they preferred complaint before the Authority at Panchkula. Same was, however, dismissed with the observation that the same was not maintainable and the complaint had been instituted eight years after taking possession and execution of conveyance deed.

2. Present appeal has been filed seeking same relief. However, it has been filed after a delay of 142 days, for condonation whereof an application has been moved.

3. At the time, the appeal came up for hearing, this Court was not inclined to issue notice. It, however, asked Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate, who normally represents BPTP, to assist this Tribunal.

4. Today, Mr. Hemant Saini has submitted that grievances, if any, of the allottees would be looked into and appropriate steps shall be taken.

5. In view of the aforesaid statement, the appeal is disposed of. No order needs to be passed on the application seeking condonation of delay.

6. File be consigned to the record.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Rakesh Manocha
Member (Technical)
(Joined through VC)

January 29, 2025
mk