

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

BEFORE SAD MUDICIA ATTANCA QUE TA CER

EXECUTION NO. 1286 OF 2023 IN COMPLAINT NO. 1831 OF 2019

Leelay Kumar Mishra

....Complainant / Decree holder

VERSUS

Ferrous Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

....Respondent/Judgment Debtor

Date of Hearing: 23.12.2024

Hearing:

5th

Present:

None for the decree holder

None for the judgment debtor

ORDER

Case is fixed for service of notice to the judgment debtor. As per office report neither the address of judgment debtor has been filed nor dasti service of notice has been effected.

Case called several times but none has appeared on behalf of decree holder in compliance of order dated 01.08.2024. It is already 04:00 PM. No further wait is justified. Hence, the present execution petition is dismissed in default for want of prosecution in view of the provisions of Order 21 Rule

105 of CPC, as this Forum while exercising the power under

2-3/12/2w

Section 40 of the RERA Act, 2016 read with Rule 27 of HRERA, Rules, 2017, is competent to act as a Civil Court, to execute the order as if it is a decree. Similarly, the judgment debtor is also proceeded against ex-parte due to non-appearance.

File be consigned to consigned record room.

MAJOR PHALIT SHARMA ADSJ(Retd.)

ADJUDICATING OFFICER

23.12.2024