



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: [www.haryanarera.gov.in](http://www.haryanarera.gov.in)

### COMPLAINT NO. 3186 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vatika Limited

....RESPONDENT

**CORAM:**

**Parneet S Sachdev**

**Chairman**

**Nadim Akhtar**

**Member**

**Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh**

**Member**

**Date of Hearing:** 09.10.2024

**Hearing:** 6<sup>th</sup>


**Present:** None.

### **ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)**


This suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent to show cause as to why Registration Certificate No. HRERA-PKL-AMB-84-2019, dated 08.01.2019 granted for a residential plotted colony namely; "Vatika City Central" on land measuring 160.683 acres located in Sector 21,22,23 & 25, Ambala be not revoked for defaulting in the renewal of license as required under the provisions of the RERA Act 2016 and rules and regulations framed thereunder.

2. When this matter was heard on 17.04.2023, neither anyone appeared on behalf of the respondents nor any reply filed. Therefore, the Authority had directed the promoter not to sell any unsold inventory or create any third-party rights in the project till extension is granted.
3. On 26.07.2023 neither anyone appeared nor any reply has been received. Therefore, the Authority had directed the promoter to show cause as to why penalty proceedings under Section 61 read with Section 63 of the RERA Act 2016 for failure to comply with the orders of the Authority be not initiated.
4. On 04.12.2023, Sh. Jitendra Kumar stated that they have applied under Samadhan se Vikas Policy to the Director, Town and Country Planning Haryana for clearing their EDC dues and a written reply in this regard will be filed shortly. The Authority therefore directed the respondents to file written reply and if the reply is not filed before the next date of hearing then penalty proceedings under Section 61 read with Section 63 of the RERA Act 2016 shall be confirmed.
5. On the last date of hearing, i.e., 31.01.2024, the Authority imposed a cost of Rs. 50,000 on the respondent since license no. 100 of 2014 dated 13.08.2014 had already lapsed on 12.08.2019 and License No. 256 of 2007 dated 07.11.2007 had lapsed on 06.11.2019 and renewed license has still not been obtained by the respondents. The said cost be deposited in the registry of the Authority before next date of hearing. The orders were sent through mail on 18.04.2024 and registered post, which were delivered to the respondents on 30.03.2024.
6. Now, vide reply dated 12.04.2024, the respondents have deposited the said penalty of Rs 50,000 through demand draft dated 10.04.2024 drawn on HDFC Bank. Sh. Jitendra Kumar requested for some more time to submit a copy of renewed license since the same is pending with DTCP.
7. On 09.10.2024, No one appeared on behalf of the respondents nor any reply has been filed.

8. Adjourned to 08.01.2025.

  
.....  
**Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh**  
Member

  
.....  
**Nadim Akhtar**  
Member

  
.....  
**Parneet S Sachdev**  
Chairman

