

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Date of decision: 06.02.2024

NAME OF THE BUILDER		M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.				
PRO	OJECT NAME	Amaya Greens at Sector 3, Gurugram, Haryana				
S. No. Case No.		Case title	Appearance			
1.	CR/114/2023	Jai Prakash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
2.	CR/109/2023	Karambir Chahar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
3.	CR/115/2023	Salinder Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
4.	CR/150/2023	Mukesh Kumar and Manju Devi Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
5.	CR/152/2023	Vinod Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
6.	CR/260/2023	Hanumant Singh and Saroj Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			
7.	CR/293/2023	Bhajan Lal Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None			



8.	CR/295/2023	Pawan Kuamr and Niphan Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
9.	CR/297/2023	Sukhdev Kumar and Anju Bala Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
10.	CR/298/2023	Rajni and Munish Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
11.	CR/417/2023	Virender Singh Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
12.	CR/433/2023	Sunil Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
13.	CR/436/2023	Hemlata Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
14.	CR/437/2023	Badal Singh and Shshi Bala Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
15.	CR/439/2023	Manjeet Singh and Reena Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
16.	CR/448/2023	Sukhbir Vş. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
17.	CR/616/2023	Nishant Bhargava and Shashi Bhargava Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None

Page 2 of 25



18.	CR/1744/2023	Deepanshu Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
19.	CR/1933/2023	Gaurav Rohiwal and Madhu Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
20.	CR/1984/2023	Sandeep Kumar Verma Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None
21.	CR/434/2023	Jai Parkash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Shri Pankaj Kumar None

CORAM:

Shri Vijay Kumar Goyal Shri Ashok Sangwan Shri Sanjeev Kumar Arora

Member Member Member

ORDER

- This order shall dispose of the aforesaid complaints titled above filed before this authority under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred as "the Act") read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as "the rules") for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all its obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottees as per the agreement for sale executed inter se between parties.
- 2. The core issues emanating from them are similar in nature and the complainant(s) in the above referred matters are allottees of the project, namely, Amaya Greens situated at Sector 3, Gurugram being developed by

Page 3 of 25



the same respondent/promoter i.e., M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. The terms and conditions of the buyer's agreements and fulcrum of the issue involved in all these cases pertains to failure on the part of the promoter to deliver timely possession of the units in question, seeking possession of the unit along with delayed possession charges and execution of conveyance deed.

- 3. With reference to complaint no. 434/2023 titled as Jai Parkash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (Sr. No. 21), the complainants have already filed an application for withdrawal of complaint on 06.02.2024. In view of the aforesaid application, the complaint before the authority bearing no. 434/2023 is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.
- 4. The details of the complaints, reply status, unit no., date of agreement, possession clause, due date of possession, total sale consideration, total paid amount, and relief sought are given in the table below:

Project Name and Location	"Amaya Greens", Sector 03, Gurugram, Haryana			
Nature of the project	Affordable plotted colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awaas Yojna			
Project area	9.0375 acres			
DTCP License No. and other details	37 of 2017 dated 28.06.2017 Valid up to 27.06.2022 Licensed area : 9.0375 acres Licensee - Sharma Confectioners Pvt. Ltd.			
HRERA Registered	212 of 2017 dated 18.09.2017			



	Valid up to 16.03.2023 (Including 6 months grace period of COVID) Registered area: 9.0375 acres
Completion certificate obtained on	11.01.2021

Sr. No.	Complaint No., Case Title, and Date of filing of complaint	Uni t no. & size	Date of execution of BBA	Due date of possession	Total Sale Consider ation / Total Amount paid by the complain ants	Offer of possessio n	Relief sought
1.	CR/114/2023 Jai Prakash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 17.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 48/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	25.04.2019	25.10.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	TSC- Rs. 24,25,052 AP- Rs. 20,33,628	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
2.	CR/109/2023 Karambir Chahar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 17.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 59/2 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	05.12.2018	05.06.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	TSC- Rs. 23,25,336 AP- Rs. 20,55,652	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses



Complaint No. 114 of 2023 &

others

3.	CR/115/2023 Salinder Kumar	A- 46/2 Floor	15.10.2018	15.04.2021	TSC- Rs. 23,75,000	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete
	Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 30.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	1028 sq. ft.		[24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	AP- Rs. 20,84,094		developmen work o project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
4.	CR/150/2023 Mukesh Kumar and Manju Devi Vs. M/s Savyasachi	A- 52/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	28.03.2019	28.09.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months	TSC- Rs. 24,50,752 AP- Rs. 22,63,396	Not placed on record	 Complete developmen work o project Registration
	Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 17.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	ANA	सत्यमे	grace period of COVID]	OPUL		of the plot • Litigation expenses
5.	CR/152/2023 Vinod Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 17.01.2023	A- 53/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	11.06.2019 AR	11.12.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	TSC- Rs. 24,75,424 AP- Rs. 22,98,650	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete developmen work o project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
6.	Reply: Not filed CR/260/2023 Hanumant Singh and Saroj Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 17.01.2023	A- 51/2 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	22.07.2019	22.01.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	TSC- Rs. 23,45,896 AP- Rs. 23,24,476	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete developmen work o project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses

A

Page 6 of 25



7.	CR/293/2023 Bhajan Lal Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 30.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 47/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	02.03.2020	02.09.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,25,052 AP- Rs. 24,22,500	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
8.	CR/295/2023 Pawan Kuamr and Niphan Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 30.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 56/3 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	17.10.2019	17.04.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,49,724 AP- Rs. 23,60,039	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
9.	CR/297/2023 Sukhdev Kumar and Anju Bala Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 30.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 48/2 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	06.09.2019	06.03.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 23,25,336 AP- Rs. 20,71,531	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
10.	CR/298/2023 Rajni and Munish Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 30.01.2023 Reply: Not filed	A- 59/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	10.12.2018	10.06.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,25,052 AP- Rs. 22,97,655	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses

A



Complaint No. 114 of 2023 &

others

11.	CR/417/2023 Virender Singh	A- 50/3 Floor	BBA not executed	02.01.2024	TSC- Rs. 24,50,752	Not placed on record	• Complete
	Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 13.02.2023	1028 sq. ft.	Allotment letter issued on- 02.07.2021	[24 months from allotment letter + 6 months grace]	AP- Rs. 23,65,853	Possession certificate- 01.07.2021	development work of project • Registration of the plot • Litigation expenses
	Reply: Not filed						
12.	CR/433/2023 Sunil Kumar Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 03.02.2023	A- 52/2 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	25.04.2019	25.10.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 23,25,336 AP- Rs. 21,71,926	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
	Reply: Not filed	18	सत्यमे	य जयते	E		
13.	CR/436/2023 Hemlata Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 03.02.2023	A- 57/1 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	27.03.2019	27.09.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,50,752 AP- Rs. 22,65,934	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
14.	Reply: Not filed CR/437/2023 Badal Singh and Shashi Bala Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 03.02.2023	A- 54/3 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	27.03.2019	27.09.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,50,752 AP- Rs. 23,28,425	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses



i I

15.	CR/439/2023 Manjeet Singh and Reena Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF:	A- 46/3 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	09.01.2020	09.07.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 24,50,752 AP- Rs. 23,45,747	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation
	03.02.2023 Reply: Not filed						expenses
16.	CR/448/2023 Sukhbir Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 03.02.2023	A-02 (Plot) 114.94 sq. yds.	- ANY	13.03.2019 [12 months from agreement]	TSC- Rs. 20,61,736 AP- Rs. 20,61,736	placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
	Reply: Not filed	$ \xi $	सत्यमे	व जयते	121		
17.	CR/616/2023 Nishant Bhargava and Shashi Bhargava Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	B-47 (Plot) 148.02 sq. yds.	14.06.2021	14.12.2022 [12 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 23,16,365 AP- Rs. 23,16,340	placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation
	DOF: 13.02.2023	H		ER			expenses
	Reply: Not filed	~	IIDII	004	IN A		
18.	CR/1744/2023 Deepanshu Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 03.05.2023	A- 45/3 Floor 1028 sq. ft.	25.10.2019	25.04.2022 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 25,50,468 AP- Rs. 19,55,000	Not placed on record Possession certificate- 01.09.2021	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses

Page 9 of 25



19.	CR/1933/2023 Gaurav Rohiwal and Madhu Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. DOF:	C-29 (Plot) 107.35 sq. yds.		17.07.2023 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace]	TSC- Rs. 18,24,950 AP- Rs. 10,90,000	Not placed on record	 Possession DPC Complete development work of project Registration of the plot Litigation expenses
2	03.05.2023						
	Reply: Not filed						
20.	CR/1984/2023	C-47 (Plot)	BBA not executed	Cannot be ascertained	TSC- Rs. 26,00,415	Not placed	Possession DPC
	Sandeep Kumar	148.02	A LL	M.C.A.			 Complete development
	Verma Vs.	sq. yds.	いる		AP- Rs.	Possession	work o project
	M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	ANA	सत्यमे	व जयते	21,31,488	certificate- 01.09.2021	 Registration of the plot Litigation
	DOF: 03.05.2023	REAL			NA NA		expenses
	Reply: Not filed	1		116	2		
21.	CR/434/2023	Т	he said com	plaint stand	ds dismiss	sed as with	ldrawn
	Jai Parkash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	in th	n terms of a ne counsel f	pplication d or the comp	ated 06.0 plainant.		
	DOF: 03.02.2023						
	Reply: Not filed						

Abbreviation	Full form	
DOF	Date of filing of complaint	
DPC	Delayed possession charges	
TSC	Total sale consideration	
AP	Amount paid by the allottee/s	

A



- 5. The aforesaid complaints were filed by the complainant-allottee(s) against the promoter on account of violation of the builder buyer's agreement executed between the parties in respect of subject unit for not handing over the possession by the due date, seeking the physical possession of the unit along with delayed possession charges and execution of conveyance deed.
- 6. The facts of all the complaints filed by the complainant-allottee(s) are similar. Out of the above-mentioned cases, the particulars of lead case CR/114/2023 titled as Jai Prakash and anr. Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. are being taken into consideration for determining the rights of the allottee(s) qua the relief sought by them.
- A. Project and unit related details
- 7. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant(s), date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

CR/114/2023 titled as Jai Prakash and anr. Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt.

S.N.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the project	"Amaya Greens", Sector 03, Gurugram.
2.	Nature of the project	Deen Dayal Jan Awaas Yojna
3.	Project area	9.0375 acres
4.	License no.	37 of 2017 dated 28.06.2017 Valid up to 27.06.2022 Licensed area: 9.0375 acres

Ltd.

Page 11 of 25



A

Complaint No. 114 of 2023 & others

		Licensee: Sharma Confectioners Pvt. Ltd.
5.	RERA registered or not	212 of 2017 dated 18.09.2017 Valid up to 16.03.2023 (Including 6 months grace period of COVID) Registered area: 9.0375 acres
6.	Completion certificate received on	11.01.2021
7.	Allotment letter issued by the respondent in favour of the complainants on	20.05.2019 [Page 21 of complaint]
8.	Agreement for sale between the respondent and the complainants	25.04.2019 [Page 25 of complaint]
9.	Plot no.	A-48/1 admeasuring 1028 sq. ft. (Page 21 of complaint)
10.	Total sale price	Rs. 24,25,052/- as per clause 3.1 of the Agreement to sale (Page 32 of complaint)
11.	Paid up amount	Rs. 20,33,628/- as alleged by the complainant (Page 17 of complaint)
12.	Possession clause	"4.2. POSSESSION TIME AND COMPENSATION That the seller shall sincerely endeavour to give possession of the Floor to the Purchaser within 24 months from the date of the execution of the Agreement to Sell and after providing the necessary infrastructure specially road, sewer & water in the sector by the Government, but subject to force majeure

Page 12 of 25



		conditions or any Government/regulatory authority's action, inaction or omission and reasons beyond the control of the seller. 	
13.	Due date of possession	25.10.2021 [24 months from agreement + 6 months grace period of COVID]	
14.	Possession letter	Not placed on record	

B. Facts of the complaint

- 8. The complainants have made following submissions in the complaint:
- i. That after going through advertisement published by respondent in the newspapers and referring to the brochure provided by respondent, the complainants desired an allotment of a unit/flat in the project of the respondent floated by the name of Amaya Greens, in Sector-3, Farukhnagar, Gurugram, Haryana, having super area 1028 sq. ft., for basic sale consideration of Rs. 24,25,052/-.
- ii. That deluded by the representations of the respondent, the complainants entered into a builder buyer agreement on 25.04.2019. The complainants thereafter paid a full & final payment of Rs. 20,33,628/- up to 25.06.2019 towards the booking in the project. The respondent thereupon issued the allotment letter on 20.05.2019 in favour of the complainants for flat no. A-48/1. The respondent represented that it is in right to exclusively develop, construct and build residential building, transfer or alienate the unit's floor space and to carry out sale deed, agreement to sell, conveyance deeds, letters of allotments etc. in respect to the project.





10

- iii. That as per clause 4.1 of the builder buyer's agreement, the respondent was under legal obligation to handover the possession of the above said flat within 24 months from the date of execution of the builder buyer agreement.
- iv. That the complainants visited the site during the course of construction and noticed and found that the construction work was delayed beyond the possession date and since then they have been trying to communicate to the respondent by visiting their offices and through various modes including but not limited to telephonic conversations and personal approach etc.
- v. That the complainants have made and satisfied all the payments against the demands raised by the respondent and as on the date of filing of the present complaint, the complainants have abided by all the payment plan of the builder buyer's agreement without any delay and default. The complainants have also paid the development charges of the project.
- vi. That till today the complainants have not received any satisfactory reply from the respondent regarding the completion of the project. The complainants have been suffering a lot of mental, physical and financial agony and harassment.
- vii. That the respondent has not completed the construction of the said real estate project till now and the complainants have not been provided with the possession of the said flat despite several and repeated promises and representation made by respondent. By committing delay in delivering the possession of the aforesaid plot, the respondent has violated the terms and conditions of the builder buyer's agreement and promises made at the time of booking of said flat.





ah

viii. That cause of action accrued in favour of the complainants and against the respondent, when complainants had booked the said flat and it further arose when respondent failed/ neglected to deliver the said flat within stipulated time period. The cause of action is continuing and is still subsisting on day-to-day basis.

C. Relief sought by the complainants

- 9. The complainants have sought the following relief(s):
 - I. Direct the respondent to pay the delayed possession charges till offer of possession of the said plot along-with prevailing interest as per the provisions of the Act.
 - II. Direct the respondent to provide habitable possession of the said flat/unit to the complainants with immediate effect.
 - III. Direct the respondent to complete the development work of the project.
 - IV. Direct the respondent for registration of the said flat /unit with immediate effect.
 - V. Direct the respondent to pay Rs. 50,000/- as litigation expenses.
 - VI. Any other relief /order or direction, which this Hon'ble Authority may deem fit and proper considering the facts and circumstances of the present complaint.
- 10. The public notice for appearance of the respondent and for filing reply was published on 09.12.2023 in two newspapers. Despite that, the respondent failed to appear before this Authority on 19.07.2023, 02.11.2023, 12.12.2023 and 06.02.2024. None has appeared on behalf of the respondent despite sufficient opportunities. In view of the same, the respondent was proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 06.02.2024.

Page 15 of 25



D. Jurisdiction of the authority

11. The authority observes that it has territorial as well as subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate the present complaint for the reasons given below.

D.I Territorial jurisdiction

12. As per notification no. *1/92/2017-1TCP dated 14.12.2017* issued by Town and Country Planning Department, the jurisdiction of Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram shall be entire Gurugram District for all purpose with offices situated in Gurugram. In the present case, the project in question is situated within the planning area of Gurugram District. Therefore, this authority has complete territorial jurisdiction to deal with the present complaint.

D.II Subject matter jurisdiction

13. Section 11(4)(a) of the Act, 2016 provides that the promoter shall be responsible to the allottee as per agreement for sale. Section 11(4)(a) is reproduced as hereunder:

Section 11

.....

(4) The promoter shall-

(a) be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions under the provisions of this Act or the rules and regulations made thereunder or to the allottees as per the agreement for sale, or to the association of allottees, as the case may be, till the conveyance of all the apartments, plots or buildings, as the case may be, to the allottees, or the common areas to the association of allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be;

Section 34-Functions of the Authority:

34(f) of the Act provides to ensure compliance of the obligations cast upon the promoters, the allottees and the real estate agents under this Act and the rules and regulations made thereunder.



14. So, in view of the provisions of the Act quoted above, the authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint regarding non-compliance of obligations by the promoter leaving aside compensation which is to be decided by the adjudicating officer if pursued by the complainants at a later stage.

E. Findings on the relief sought by the complainants

- E.I Direct the respondent to provide habitable possession of the said plot/unit to the complainants with immediate effect.
- 15. In the present complaint, the grievance of the complainants is that the respondent has failed to handover the physical possession.
- 16. As per possession letter placed on record in CR/1744/2023 and CR/1984/2023, the physical possession of the subject unit has already been taken over by the complainants-allottees on 01.09.2021 in both the cases. However, the counsel for the complainants submitted that the physical possession has not been handed over by the respondent to the complainants.
- 17. The authority observes that respondent promoter has obtained completion certificate in respect of the said project from the competent authority on 11.01.2021 and has offered the possession of the subject plot/unit(s). Section 17 of the Act obligates the promoter to handover the physical possession of the subject plot/unit complete in all respect as per specifications mentioned in BBA and thereafter, the complainants-allottees are obligated to take the possession within 2 months as per provisions of section 19(10) of the Act, 2016.
- 18. In view of the above, the respondent is directed to handover the possession of the allotted unit/plot to the complainants complete in all aspects as per specifications of buyer's agreement within one month from date of this

Page 17 of 25



order after payment of outstanding dues, if any, as the completion certificate in respect of the project has already been obtained by it from the competent authority.

- E.II Direct the respondent to pay the delayed possession charges till offer of possession of the said plot along-with prevailing interest as per the provisions of the Act.
- 19. In the present complaint, the complainants intend to continue with the project and are seeking delay possession charges at prescribed rate of interest on amount already paid by them as provided under the proviso to section 18(1) of the Act which reads as under:-

"Section 18: - Return of amount and compensation

......

18(1). If the promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment, plot, or building, —

Provided that where an allottee does not intend to withdraw from the project, he shall be paid, by the promoter, interest for every month of delay, till the handing over of the possession, at such rate as may be prescribed."

20. Clause 4.2 of the buyer's agreement (in short, the agreement) dated 25.04.2019, provides for handing over possession and the same is reproduced below:

"4.2 POSSESSION TIME AND COMPENSATION

"That the seller shall sincerely endeavour to give possession of the Floor to the purchaser within 24 months from the date of the execution of the Agreement to Sell and after providing the necessary infrastructure specially road, sewer & water in the sector by the Government, but subject to force majeure conditions..."

21. **Due date of handing over possession:** As per clause 4.2 of buyer's agreement, the respondent promoter has proposed to handover the possession of the subject unit within a period of 24 months from the date of signing of this agreement to the allottees as per terms of this agreement.

Page 18 of 25



12

The authority in view of notification no. 9/3-2020 dated 26.05.2020, on account of force majeure conditions due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic has allowed the grace period of 6 months to the promoter. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 25.10.2021.

22. The following table concludes the due date of handing over possession in the following matters:

S.no.	Complaint no.	Due date of possession	Date of completion certificate
1.	CR/114/2023	30.03.2023	11.01.2021
2.	CR/109/2023	05.06.2021	11.01.2021
3.	CR/115/2023	15.04.2021	11.01.2021
4.	CR/150/2023	28.09.2021	11.01.2021
5.	CR/152/2023	11.12.2021	11.01.2021
6.	CR/260/2023	22.01.2022	11.01.2021
7.	CR/293/2023	02.09.2022	11.01.2021
8.	CR/295/2023	17.04.2022	11.01.2021
9.	CR/297/2023	06.03.2022	11.01.2021
10.	CR/298/2023	10.06.2021	11.01.2021
11.	CR/417/2023	02.01.2024	11.01.2021
12.	CR/433/2023	25.10.2021	11.01.2021
13.	CR/436/2023	27.09.2021	11.01.2021
14.	CR/437/2023	27.09.2021	11.01.2021
15.	CR/439/2023	09.07.2022	11.01.2021



16.	CR/448/2023	13.03.2019	11.01.2021
17.	CR/616/2023	14.12.2022	11.01.2021
18.	CR/1744/2023	25.04.2022	11.01.2021
19.	CR/1933/2023	17.07.2023	11.01.2021
20.	CR/1984/2023	Cannot be ascertained	11.01.2021

- 23. On consideration of the documents available on record and submissions made regarding contravention of provisions of the Act, the authority is satisfied that the respondent has already obtained completion certificate in respect of the said project prior to the due date of handing over possession as per the terms of the buyer's agreement executed inter se parties except in CR/448/2023. By virtue of clause 4.2 of the buyer's agreement executed between the parties, the possession of the subject apartment was to be delivered within a period of 24 months from the date of signing of this agreement to the allottees as per terms of this agreement. The authority in view of notification no. 9/3-2020 dated 26.05.2020, on account of force majeure conditions due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic has allowed the grace period of 6 months to the promoter. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 25.10.2021.
- 24. Section 19(10) of the Act obligates the allottee to take possession of the subject unit within 2 months from the date of receipt of completion certificate. The respondent has offered the possession of the subject unit(s) to the respective complainants after obtaining completion certificate from competent authority. Therefore, in the interest of natural justice, the complainants should be given 2 months' time from the date of offer of possession. This 2 months' of reasonable time is being given to the Page 20 of 25



complainants keeping in mind that even after intimation of possession practically they have to arrange a lot of logistics and requisite documents. In the present complaint, the completion certificate was granted by the competent authority on 11.01.2021. The respondent has obtained completion certificate prior to the due date of handing over possession as per the buyer's agreement except in CR/448/2023. Thus, no case for delayed possession charges is made out under section 11(4)(a) of the Act read with proviso to section 18(1) of the Act in all the complaints except CR/448/2023. Accordingly, no direction to this effect.

25. With reference to CR/448/2023, the due date of handing over possession as per the buyer's agreement dated 13.03.2018 executed inter se parties, as computed in table provided under para 3 of this order is 13.03.2019. On consideration of the documents available on record and submissions made by both the parties regarding contravention of provisions of the Act, the authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the section 11(4)(a) of the Act by not handing over possession by the due date. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) read with proviso to section 18(1) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such the complainants are entitled to delay possession charges at the prescribed rate i.e., @10.85% p.a. w.e.f. due date of possession i.e., 13.03.2019 till date of completion certificate plus 2 months i.e., 11.03.2021, as per sections 18(1) and 19(10) of the Act read with rule 15 of the rules.

E.III Direct the respondent to complete the development work of the project
26. The grievance of the complainants is that the development work of the project is not complete and the respondent be directed to complete the said development work.



27. The authority observes that the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana has already granted completion certificate dated 11.01.2021 subject to certain conditions in respect of the said project certifying that the development works are complete. The complainants are at liberty to approach the competent authority if any grievance subsists on account of any error in granting the completion certificate in respect of the said project.

E.IV Direct the respondent for registration of the said plot /unit with immediate effect

28. The complainants are seeking relief of execution of conveyance deed. Clause 10 of the buyer's agreement provides for 'Conveyance of the said unit/plot' and is reproduced below:

Clause 10. CONVEYANCE DEED:

"10.1 STAMP DUTY AND REGISTRATION CHARGES

The stamp duty, registration fee/charges and other expenses to be incurred at the time of execution of the Conveyance Deed in pursuance to this Agreement to Sell shall be borne by the Purchaser. The Purchaser shall be fully responsible for paying any deficient stamp duty and other charges to the government authorities. The Purchaser also undertakes to pay without demur any increase in stamp duty/registration charges as may be effected by the government even id such an increase takes place after the Purchaser has paid to the Seller all the dues/charges/fees etc. under this Agreement. Similarly, if there is any decrease in the stamp duty/registration charges, the same shall be paid by the Seller to the Purchaser."

29. The authority has gone through the conveyance clause of the agreement. A reference to the provisions of section 17 (1) of the Act is also must and it provides as under:

"Section 17: - Transfer of title

A

17(1). The promoter shall execute a registered conveyance deed in favour of the allottee along with the undivided proportionate title in the common areas to the association of the allottees or the competent authority, as the



case may be, and hand over the physical possession of the plot, apartment of building, as the case may be, to the allottees and the common areas to the association of the allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be, in a real estate project, and the other title documents pertaining thereto within specified period as per sanctioned plans as provided under the local laws:

Provided that, in the absence of any local law, conveyance deed in favour of the allottee or the association of the allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be, under this section shall be carried out by the promoter within three months from date of issue of occupancy certificate.

30. The respondent is under an obligation as per section 17 of Act to get the conveyance deed executed in favour of the complainants. As delineated hereinabove, the completion certificate in respect of the said project was granted on 11.01.2021 by the competent authority. Thus, the respondent is directed to execute the conveyance deed upon payment of outstanding dues and requisite stamp duty by the complainants as per norms of the state government as per section 17 of the Act failing which the complainants may approach the adjudicating officer for execution of order.

F. Directions of the authority

- 31. Hence, the authority hereby passes this order and issues the following directions under section 37 of the Act to ensure compliance of obligations cast upon the promoter as per the function entrusted to the authority under section 34(f):
 - i. The respondent is directed to handover the possession of the allotted unit to the complainants complete in all aspects as per specifications of buyer's agreement within one month from date of this order after payment of outstanding dues, if any, as the completion certificate in



respect of the project has already been obtained by it from the competent authority.

- ii. The respondent is directed to execute the conveyance deed upon payment of outstanding dues and requisite stamp duty by the complainants as per norms of the state government as per section 17 of the Act with 3 months from the date of this order failing which the complainants may approach the adjudicating officer for execution of order.
- iii. With respect to CR/448/2023, the respondent is directed to pay interest to the complainants against the paid-up amount at the prescribed rate of 10.85% p.a. for every month of delay from the due date of possession i.e., 13.03.2019 till date of completion certificate plus 2 months i.e., 11.03.2021 as per sections 18(1) and 19(10) of the Act read with rule 15 of the rules. The respondent is directed to pay arrears of interest accrued so far within 90 days from the date of order of this order as per rule 16(2) of the rules.
- iv. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainants which is not the part of the buyer's agreement and the provisions of Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna, 2016.
- This decision shall mutatis mutandis apply to cases mentioned in para 3 of this order.
- 33. The complaints stand disposed of. True certified copy of this order shall be placed in the case file of each matter.
- It is hereby again reiterated that with reference to complaint no. 434/2023 titled as Jai Parkash and Shakuntla Vs. M/s Savyasachi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (Sr. No. 21), the complainants have already filed an application for



withdrawal of complaint on 06.02.2024. In view of the aforesaid application, the complaint before the authority bearing no. 434/2023 is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

35. Files be consigned to registry.

22 V . (Sanjeev Kumar Arora) (Ashok Sangwan) (Vijay Kumar Goyal) Member Member Member

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Dated: 06.02.2024

REG

UGR