HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1060 of 2020

HRERA, Panchkula ....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Trishul Dream Homes Ltd. .....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:	**Parneet S Sachdev**	**Chairman**
	Nadim Akhtar	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**
	Chander Shekhar	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 24.01.2024

Hearing: 17th

Present: None on behalf of respondent

ORDER (PARNEET SACHDEV- CHAIRMAN)

This suo motu complaint was registered against the respondent-promoter for neither applying for further extension of registration of the project pursuant to Section-6 of the RERA Act, 2016 nor submitting information/documents showing the completion of the project -a group housing colony on land measuring

5 acres registered vide Registration No. 358 of 2017 dated 17.11.2017 which was valid up to 24.06.2019.

2. The respondent has not filed applications for extension of registration for the years 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. However, respondent has deposited registration fee applicable for both the years.

3. When the matter was heard on 13.03.2023, Authority observed that in complaint no. 974 of 2021(relating to the same project) local commissioner was directed to be appointed, so in present case also it was directed that Local Commissioner be appointed to report the progress of the project.

4. Authority vide letter dated 01.08.2023 decided to appoint M/s Protech Consortium as Local Commissioner to report the progress in the project. The Local Commissioner, M/s Protech Consortium submitted the site report vide letter dated 15.09.2023 stating the existing condition of the project: Approach to the gate of project was an earthen path departing from Faridabad Kheri Kalan road. The main gate was closed and jammed visibly due to non-operation for long. Two notices were pasted on the iron sheet gate, one from the Buyers's Association and by DG,TCP. One notice stated that a case is pending against Trishul Dreams Homes Ltd. In NCLT and other was suggesting not to purchase any property in the project as the department of Town and Country Planning has cancelled the license of the promoter. There was no construction activity, visibly for quite long period of time. Idle batching plant for preparing concrete was

visible over the fence/wall. There appeared to be only one tower partly constructed mounted with a crane which was used during its construction. As there was no way to enter the campus existence of other towers, if any, could not be ascertained. No persons were available for local inquiry.

5. In view of report submitted by Local Commissioner, it is important to determine the status of license from the Town and Country Planning Department before any further action can be initiated. Therefore, office is directed to obtain the status of the license from the Town and Country Planning Department.

6. Adjourned to **14.08.2024.**

Chander Shekhar	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	Nadim Akhtar	Parneet S Sachdev
Member	Member	Member	Chairman