



Complaint No. 259 of 2019

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 259 OF 2019

Sunil Bahl

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

GLM Infratech Pvt. Ltd.

erstwhile Bhoomi Infrastructure Company

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: Rajan Gupta
Anil Kumar Panwar
Dilbag Singh Sihag

Chairman
Member
Member

Date of Hearing: 10.10.2019

Hearing: 7th

Present: - Mr. Manjeet Singh Grewal and Mr. Harpreet Singh Sudan,
counsel for the complainant

Mr. Jaswant Singh, counsel for the respondent

ORDER (DILBAG SINGH SIHAG- MEMBER)


1. Learned counsel for the complainant stated that in 2010, the complainant booked a 3BHK unit in the proposed project of respondent by paying an amount of Rs. 5,64,000/-. He stated that as respondent failed to allot the unit, complainant requested for return of the already paid amount vide letter dated 02.11.2015 and the same was agreed and acknowledged by respondent vide a letter dated 25.11.2015. The respondent vide the said letter dated 25.11.2015, requested the complainant to complete the KYC format and send it. The complainant complied with the same and sent it on 01.12.2015. He further states that the respondent vide letter dated 24.02.2016, requested the complainant to visit the other project of respondent situated at Sector-30, Panchkula, for alternate unit; however, the complainant refused to accept the said offer vide letter dated 29.11.2016 and pressed for refund of the already paid amount. Learned counsel for the complainant is praying for refund of the amount already paid along with interest as the respondent has failed to refund the amount even after repeated communications.

2. Mr. Jaswant Singh, learned counsel for the respondent company states that he is appearing on behalf of GLM Infratech Pvt. Ltd. and drew the attention of Authority towards the fact that the complainant had booked the unit with company namely "Global Land Masters Corporation Limited" which is a separate legal entity. However, from the communications between the parties the Authority is of the view that both these companies are sister

concerns and the respondent is taking a technical plea to buy some time for unknown reasons.

3. Considering the written and verbal averments made by both the parties, the Authority considers that the complainant is entitled to refund of the amount already paid. The Authority directs the respondent to refund the amount already paid along with reasonable interest at the rate of nine percent from the date of deposit till the actual date of payment within a period of 30 days from the uploading of this order.

4. The matter stands disposed of. File be consigned to record room.


.....

RAJAN GUPTA
[CHAIRMAN]


.....

ANIL KUMAR PANWAR
[MEMBER]


.....

DILBAG SINGH SIHAG
[MEMBER]