HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. (Suo-Motu) 3017 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure Ltd ....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Parneet S Sachdev**	**Chairman**
	Nadim Akhtar	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**
	Chander Shekhar	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 31.01.2024
Hearing: 5th
Present: Adv. Piyush Kumar, proxy counsel on behalf of Adv. Shubhnit Hans.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)

Present suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent promoter for neither completing the project within the timelines declared u/s 4(2)(l)(c) at the time of seeking registration nor applying for extension of registered project namely "Espania 1" a group housing colony on land measuring 12.64 acres situated in Sector 19, Sonipat registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL-SNP-161-2019 dated 15.11.2019 valid upto 31.12.2020. After granting benefit of covid period the registration stands expired on 30.09.2021.

A show cause notice dated 21.11.2022 was issued to the respondent promoter directing to furnish information relating to the status of the project on the date of expiry of registration.

2. When this matter was heard by the Authority on 23.01.2023, Authority had directed the promoter not to sell any unsold inventory or create any third party rights in the project till extension is granted.

3. Subsequently on 26.07.2023, no one appeared on behalf of respondents nor any reply was filed. The Authority also directed the promoter to show cause as to why penalty proceedings U/s 61 read with Section 63 be not initiated for failure to comply with the orders of the Authority.

4. On the last date of hearing, neither anyone appeared nor any reply has been filed. The Authority therefore granted one last opportunity to the respondent to comply with the orders failing which it will be constrained to impose a penalty of Rs. 5 Lac under Section 60 and 61 read with Section 63 for contravention of the provisions of the Act and not complying with the orders of the Authority.

5. Today, Adv. Piyush Kumar requested for an adjournment to file reply to the above show cause notice. Since ample time has already been granted by the Authority, the Authority therefore imposes a penalty under Section 60 and 61 read with Section 63 of the RERA Act, 2016. The said penalty be deposited in the registry of the Authority before next date of hearing.

5. Further, the office is directed to send a copy of this order to respondent promoter through registered post.

6. Adjourned to **24.04.2024**.

Chander Shekhar	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	Nadim Akhtar	Parneet S Sachdev
Member	Member	Member	Chairman