

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

## COMPLAINT NO. 974 of 2021

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Trishul Dream Homes.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: Nadim Akhtar Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh Member Member

Date of Hearing: 26.09.2023

Hearing: 9<sup>th</sup>

Present: - None

## ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR -MEMBER)

This Suo-motu complaint has been registered for taking cognizance of pending construction work of the project "Trishul Dream Homes" situated in Sector-84, Faridabad. On 26.10.2021 Adv. Shri Vivek Sethi marked his presence through video conferencing and consented to accept notice.

2. The office record reveals that the project has been registered vide Registration No. 358 of 2017 dated 17.11.2017 valid upto 24.06.2019.The

promoter has deposited the extension fee for two extensions however application for extensions have not been filed till date. The Authority in Suo-motu Complaint No. 1060 of 2020 has already passed orders for auditing the said project. Thereafter, Authority vide orders dated 16.01.2023 in present complaint decided to appoint a local commissioner to report progress of the project since its registration.

In compliance of above orders Protech Consortium was appointed as Local 3. Commissioner vide letter dated 01.08.2023 who submitted its report on 15.09.2023 stating that existing condition of the project: Approach to the gate of project was an earthen path departing from Faridabad-Kheri Kalan road. The main gate was closed and jammed visibly due to non-operation for long. Two notices were pasted on the iron sheet gate, one from the Buyer's Association and by DG,TCP. One notice stated that a case is pending against Trishul Dream Homes in NCLT and other was suggesting not to purchase any property in the project as the department of Town and Country Planning has cancelled the license of the promoter. There was no construction activity, visibly for quite long period of time. Idle batching plant for preparing concrete was visible over the fence/wall. There appeared to be only one tower partly constructed mounted with a crane which was used during its construction. As there was no way to enter the campus existence of other towers, if any, could not be ascertained. No persons were available for local enquiry.

had

4. In view of report submitted by Local Commissioner, it is important to determine the status of the licence from the Town and Country Planning Department for issuing a notice to the promoter for revoking its registration and also the latest proceedings of NCLT pending against the respondent. The office is therefore directed to know the status of the license from the Town & Country Planning Department.

5. Adjourned to <u>22.01.2024</u>.

Dr. GEETA RATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]

NADIM AKHTAR [MEMBER]