

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया भी डब्ल्यू डी. विश्वास सृह सिविल लाईस गुरुवाम हरियाणा

PROCEEL	DINGS OF THE DAY 14		
Day and Date	Friday and 15.12.2023		
Complaint No.	MA NO. 379/2023 in CR/6941/2022 Case titled as Ajay Singh and Venu Dahiya VS Vatika Ltd		
Complainant	Ajay Singh and Venu Dahiya		
Represented through	Śhri R.S.Tallan, Advocate		
Respondent	Vatika Ltd		
Respondent Represented through	Shri Pankaj Chandola, Advocate		
Last date of hearing	Rectification applicaion		
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta		

## Proceeding cum order

The present complaint was heard and disposed of vide order dated 28.04.2023 allowing the refund of the entire amount paid by the complainant along with prescribed rate of interest @ 10.70% p.a.

Further the complainant on 26.09.2023 moved an application for rectification of order dated 28.04.2023 in which he stated that the name of the second complainant is not mentioned in the detail order.

S.Nor	Subject matter to be rectified	Existing details on page no. 1 of detailed order	Changes required
1.	Name of complainants	Ajay Singh	Ajay Singh Venu Dahiya



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भ-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुगाम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.इब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह.सिविल लाईस गुरुवाम हरियाणा

The aforesaid error was inadvertently made while preparing the detail order dated 28.04.2023 as the name of the complainant no. 2 is mentioned in memo of parties in the complaint filed by the complainant.

Therefore, in view of section 39 read with section 38(2) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 as the error is only clerical in nature and rectification in the detailed order dated 28.04.2023 is allowed.

This order be read with and in continuation of detailed order dated 28.04.2023.

Sanjeev ar Arora Member 15.12.2023