

1.7

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू–संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह सिविल लाईस गुरुग्राम हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY			
Day and Date	Wednesday and 10.05.2023		
Complaint No. & title	CR/4760/2020 Case titled as Himanshu Pachauri		
	CR/4778/2020 Case titled Anjali Rathore & Anr.		
	CR/4838/2020 Case titled Gaurav Kumar & Anr.		
	CR/4853/2020 Case titled Sharad Drolia		
	CR/4900/2020 Case titled Vikas Jindal & Anr.		
	CR/315/2021 Case titled Rahul Arora & Anr.		
	CR/318/2021 Case titled Rishab Rohit Jain & Ann		
	CR/413/2021 Case titled Ayush Vardha Agarwal		
	CR/1908/2021 Case titled Arvind Pandey & Anr.		
	Cr/2728/2021 Case titled Ashish Malhan		
Represented through	Shri Krishna Sharma Advocate		
Respondents	Vatika Limited & Anr.		
Respondent Represented through	S/Shri Pankaj Chandola and Gunjan Kuman Advocate		
Last date of hearing	Application for rectification of order (2.5.2023)		
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta		

## Proceedings

The applicants vide application has requested for correction of final order dated 28.10.2022 which was disposed off by the Authority.



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू–संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह. सिविल लाईस. गुरुग्राम. हरियाणा The applicant has submitted that names, unit nos. & amount paid up of the complainants have been incorrectly mentioned in the beginning of the judgment and also in the detailed table mentioned in para no. 3 and need to be rectified at the said places.

SR.NO	COMPLAINT INFORMATION	Incorrect	Correct
1.	Himanshu Pachauri versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/4760/2020	Amount Paid /- of Rs. 7,74,057/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 29,99,688/- Page 4 of 33
2.	Anjali Singh & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/4778/2020	Anjali Rathore	Anjali Singh Page1 of 33
3. Gaurav Kumar & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr.	Amit Kumar Gupta	Gaurav Kumar & Anr. Page 5 of 33	
	CR/4838/2020	Unit no. 502.	Unit no. is 503
4.	Sharad Drolia versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/4853/2020	Amount Paid /- Rs. 9,18,179/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 36,49,846/- Page 5 of 33
5.	Vikas Jindal Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/4900/2020	Amount Paid /- Rs. 40,83,877/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 42,07,299/- Page 5 of 33
6.	Rahul Arora & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/315/2021	Amount Paid /- Rs. 7,58,416/-	Amount paid is Rs. 30,59,108/- Page 6 of 33
7.	Rishab Rohit Jain & Anr. Versus	Amount Paid Rs. 10,56,000/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 41,45,013/-

An Authority constituted under section 20 the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 भू-संपदा (विनियमन और विकास) अधिनियम, 2016की धारा 20के अर्तगत गठित प्राधिकरण



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू–संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

	Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/318/2021		Page 6 of 33
8.	Ayush Kumar Agarwal & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/413/2021	Amount Paid Rs. 6,80,218/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 29,99,005/- Page 7 of 33
9.	Arvind Pandey & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/1908/2021	Amount Paid Rs. 48,45,854/-	Amount Paid is Rs. 62,10,775/- Page 7 of 33
10.	Ashish Malhan & Anr. Versus Vatika Limited & Anr. CR/2728/2021	Ashish Machan	Ashish Malhan Page 7 of 33

Both the parties were given time to reconcile the correct facts from the record. The above record have been checked by the office of the authority and application for rectification of the above facts has been found to be correct. In view of the provision of Section 39 of the Act, 2016, the authority hereby allows the application for rectification of the order dated 28.10.2022. The order dated 28.10.2022 stands rectified accordingly as per above mentioned table.

Application for rectification of order dated 28.10.2022 stands disposed off accordingly. This order shall be read with the main order. File be consigned to the registry.

Ashok Sangwan Member 10.05.2023