

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1453 OF 2020

CHITRA ATTRI

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

M/s BPTP LTD

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh

Member

Nadim Akhtar

Member

Date of Hearing:

04.10.2023

Hearing:

10th

Present: -

Mr. Sanjay Verma Counsel for the complainant

through VC.

Mr. Hemant Saini, Counsel for the respondent

through VC..

ORDER (DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH-MEMBER)

1. Case was fixed for filing of application with respect to amended prayer clause by the complainant. Mr. Sanjay Verma, learned counsel for the complainant submitted that he has filed the application for amendment of prayer with regard to the paid amount from ₹ 20,00,000/- to actually paid amount, i.e, ₹ 8,76,397/- in the registry with an advance copy supplied to the respondent. Thereafter, both the parties proceeded to argue the matter and the case was reserved for orders.

Lattree

- 2. At the time of dictating of the order, upon perusal of record it is revealed that in the allotment letter and demand letters only the name of Ms. Chitra Atri has been mentioned as the owner of the unit in question. However, in the application form, Mr. Raghav Atri has been mentioned as the second applicant of the unit in question. As per performa-B and memo of parties, Mr. Raghav Atri, co-owner of booked unit has not been arrayed as complainant no 2 in this complaint. Mr. Raghav Atri, co-owner is a necessary party and he is required to be impleaded as complainant no 2. In these circumstances, disposal order is deferred and the complainant is directed to submit appropriate application for impleadment of Mr. Raghav Atri as complainant no. 2 being co-owner of the booked unit in this complaint.
- 3. Complainant is directed to file aforementioned application within next 2 weeks in registry with advance copy supplied to respondent.
- 4. It is further pertinent to mention that the signatures of Ms Chitra Atri, complainant, as signed on the complaint file are different from the signatures signed on the recent application for amendment filed by the complainant. Complainant, Ms Chitra Atri, is also directed to file a copy of her aadhar card bearing her dated signatures.

Rature

5. Case is adjourned to <u>09.01.2024</u> for filing of application for impleadment of Mr. Raghav Atri as complainant no. 2 and verification of the signatures of the complainant no. 1. Alongwith the application for impleadment, amended complaint may also be filed.

NADIM AKHTAR [MEMBER]

DR. GEETA KATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]