



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

8

Day and Date

Friday and 22.12.2023

Complaint No.

MA NO. 389/2023 in CR/1111/2022 Case titled as Binota Bhattacharjee VS RAHEJA DEVELOPERS LTD

Complainant

Binota Bhattacharjee

Represented through

Shri Garv Malhotra Advocate

Respondent

RAHEJA DEVELOPERS LTD

Respondent Represented through

Shri Garvit Gupta Advocate

Last date of hearing

08.12.2023(Application for rectification)

Proceeding Recorded by

Naresh Kumari

Proceedings

The present complaint was filed on 22.03.2022 and the reply on behalf of the respondent was not filed. The present complaint was disposed off vide order dated 15.09.2023 of the authority.

The complainants-applicants filed an application dated 03.10.2023 for rectification of proceeding dated 15.09.2023. The said application has been filed within the limitation period of 2 years as prescribed under section 39 of the RERA Act, 2016.

Serial no.	Relief prayed for rectification in application dated 31.01.2019	Existing detail in the proceeding dated 15.09.2023	Observation of the authority/ Changes required
1.	Jimny order mentions the case title as Binota Bhattacharjee Vs Raheja Developers Ltd. Instead of Binota Bhattacharjee and	It contains the name of only one complainant	No change required as name of both the complainants were mentioned



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह. सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

	Bhattacharjee Vs Raheja Developers Ltd.		in the final order dated 15.09.2023 No change is required
2.	Area of the unit is mentioned as 2874 sq. ft. instead of 2129 sq. ft.	As per point 5 of the table the area is mentioned as 2874 sq. ft	Upon perusal of documents on record it is observed area of the unit is 2129 sq. ft. and not 2874 sq. ft. So, the same is to be rectified.
3.	Amount paid wrongly mentioned as Rs. 6,39,528/- instead of Rs. 6,37,684/- and Rs.11,00,566/- mentioned instead of Rs. 11,11,566/- in the jimni dated 15.09.2023	The amount mentioned in POD of the day 15.09.2023, Rs. 6,39,528/- and Rs.11,00,566/-	Upon perusal of proceeding of the day dated 15.09.2023, it is observed that amount paid is same as is mentioned in rectification application.
4.	That in the 10 th line of the para no. 3 of the jimny order dated 15.09.2023, it is mentioned as by the proceedings of RC should not be initiated of this particular project instead of "why the proceedings of RC should not be initiated of this particular project"	It is mentioned in the 10 th line of the para no. 3 of the jimny order by the proceedings of RC should not be initiated of this particular project	It is observed that why the proceedings of RC should not be initiated of this particular project is to be correctly mentioned in the proceeding



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM


हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

Therefore, in view of section 39 read with section 38 (2) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 as rectification relief no. 2, 3 and 4 mentioned in the above table are only clerical in nature and rectification in the detailed order dated 15.09.2023 is allowed.

It should be read with the order dated 15.09.2023. Application stands disposed off. File be consigned to the registry.


Sanjeev Kumar Arora

Member

22.12.2023