

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

DINGS OF THE DAY	48
Tuesday and 02.01.2024	
CR/3175/2020 Case titled as VE RESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIA RAHEJA DEVELOPERS LTD	EDAANTA ATION VS
VEDAANTA RESIDENTS WELFALASSOCIATION	RE
Shri Abhinandan Jain proxy cour	nsel
RAHEJA DEVELOPERS LTD	
None	
28.11.2023	
Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	
	CR/3175/2020 Case titled as VERESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIATED VEDAANTA RESIDENTS WELFARASSOCIATION  Shri Abhinandan Jain proxy cour RAHEJA DEVELOPERS LTD  None  28.11.2023

## **Proceedings**

The applicant/respondent vide application dated 25.08.2023, has requested for rectification of order dated 08.05.2023 in the above captioned complaint which was disposed of by the authority.

Application dated 25.08.2023 has been filed by the respondent w.r.t. IFMS/IBMS was to be adjusted against the dues was specifically mentioned by the respondent in its reply to the false complaint filed by the complainant. That a total amount of Rs.15,32,66,192/- has already spent by the association and the respondent collectively towards the maintaining the society in question and an amount of Rs.7,55,66,666/- has been collected from the allottees. Hence, a sum of Rs.7,76,99,526/- is outstanding towards the maintenance charges and the same is evident from a bare perusal of the calculation. The said amount is also required to be taken into consideration for the purpose of setting off the amount against IFMS/IBMS was to be adjusted remained outstanding and the same has been omitted by this authority. Further, the complainant/association has tried to mislead this authority by quoting a random figure amount of Rs.8.87 crores to be transferred, no substantial proof was attached by the complainant for arriving at this figure.



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण गुरुगाम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The application for rectification of amount has been filed by the respondent-promoter but despite repeated calls none is present on behalf of the respondent and it seems that the respondent promoter is not interested in pursuing the application. More-over, the order of the authority was passed after considering the facts placed on record after striking of the defence of the respondent for non appearance. The application stands dismissed. File be consigned to the registry.

Ashok Sangwan Member

Vijay Kumar Goyal Member

Arun Kumar Chairman 02.01.2024