

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana	नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी.	विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाण	ī
PROCEEDINGS (F THE DAY	7	2

PROCEE	CDINGS OF THE DAY 23		
Day and Date	Tuesday and 02.01.2024		
Complaint No.	MA NO. 284/2023 in CR/5083/2021 Case titled as Ashita Sharma and Arvind Kumar Sharma VS BPTP Limited		
Complainant	Ashita Sharma and Arvind Kumar Sharma		
Represented through	Shri Jagdeep Kumar Advocate		
Respondent	BPTP Limited		
Respondent Represented	Shri Harshit Batra Advocate		
Last date of hearing	Rectification application		
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta		

Proceedings

The aforesaid complaint was disposed of vide order dated 06.02.2023 of the authority wherein the complaint was rejected by not being maintainable being barred by res judicata. An application dated 07.08.2023 was filed by the respondent for rectification order dated 06.02.2023.

Vide said application for rectification of order dated 06.02.2023, the complainants-applicants has sought following rectification-

<u>S.no</u>	Changes proposed	Finding of the authority
		Proposed change as per application filed by the complainant

Conto -



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भ्-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

1. respondent stated that captioned complaint wrongly name as Sh. Venket Rao and there has heen clerical error.

> The vakalatnama of Adv. Harshit Batra has already been filed and the same is on record.

It is observed that there is inadvertent error in the order dated 06.02.2023 where the name of the counsel has mentioned the advocate / been advertently mentioned as Sh. counsel of the respondent | Venket Rao instead of Shri Harshit Batra.

The authority observes that the above error is clerical in nature and can be rectified but the counsel for the complainant states that an appeal No.338/2023 against the order passed by the Authority has been filed before Hon'ble Tribunal and hence in view of the provisions u/s 39 of the Act, rectification of the order may not be allowed at this stage. In view of the same, the application is declined. File be consigned to the registry.

Ashok Sangwan Member

> Arun Kumar Chairman 02.01.2024

Vijay Kumar Goval