



**HARERA**  
GURUGRAM

**HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
GURUGRAM**

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विथाम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

<b>PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY</b>		<b>85</b>
Day and Date	Tuesday and 18.07.2023	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 126/2023 in CR/901/2021 Case titled as JYOTI GELRA THROUGH POA HOLDER DEEP CHAND JAIN Vs ANSAL PROPERTIES & INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. & Samyak properties pvt ltd	
Complainant	JYOTI GELRA THROUGH POA HOLDER DEEP CHAND JAIN	
Represented through	None	
Respondent	ANSAL PROPERTIES & INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. & Samyak properties pvt ltd	
Respondent Represented through	Shri Tushar Behmani Adv. For R-1	
Last date of hearing	Rect. appl.	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

### Proceedings

The applicants/complainants vide application dated 21.04.2023 have requested for rectification of order dated 13.09.2022 in the above captioned complaint which was disposed of by the authority.

Application dated 21.04.2023 has been filed by the counsel of the complainant w.r.t. correction of amount paid in the order dated 13.09.2022: -

S.No.	Subject matter to be rectified	Existing details on page no. in detailed order dated 13.09.2022	Correction asked
1.	Amount paid mentioned in	₹ 44,41,036/-	₹ 49,09,505/- The amount paid is wrongly



HARERA  
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम  
MA No 126/2023 in CR/901/2021

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

para 3 at page 5 of the order	mentioned as ₹ 44,79,610/- instead of ₹ 49,09,505/-.
----------------------------------	---

The counsel for the respondent has placed on record a copy of NCLT orders dated 1611.2022 and requests that the case be adjourned sine die.

In the present matter the complainant submitted the receipts in the complaint filed and the amount paid is calculated by doing sum of receipts attached with the complaint which comes out to be ₹ 44,41,036/- only. Accordingly, in view of section 39 read with section 38(2) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 the said rectification in the detailed order dated 13.09.2022 is disallowed.

Vijay Kumar Goyal  
Member  
18.07.2023