

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

भया यी.जब्दन्यू. डी. विश्वाम गुष्ठ, निविल लाईत, पुरुवाम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		66-68
Day and Date	Tuesday and 11.07.2023	
Complaint No.	CR/3073/2021 Case titled as Sharwan Kumar Vs Vatika Limited	
	CR/3074/2021 Case titled as Mrs. Mehal- Vs Vatika Limited	
	CR/3075/2021 Case titled as Sharwan Kumar Goyal HUF Vs Vatika Limited	
Complainant	Sharwan Kumar	
	Mrs. Mehak	
	Sharwan Kumar Goyal HU	F
Represented through		
Respondent	VATIKA LIMITED	
Respondent Represented through	Shri Dhruv Dutt Sharma Ad	lvocate
Last date of hearing	Rectification order	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mel	nta

Proceedings

The aforesaid complaint was disposed of vide order dated 10.11.2022. As per detailed order, the complainant-allottees were entitled to refund the paid-up amount received from each of the allottee(s) deposited by them their allotted unit along with interest at the prescribed rate of 10.25% per annum from the date of each payment till the date of actual realization within the timelines as prescribed under rule 16 of the Rules, 2017.

The complainant-applicants filed application on 12.05.2023 for rectification of order dated 10.11.2022.

An Authority constituted under section 20 the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 4-4040 (Milware alle filmer) address, 201640 um 2049 advert (Barandaren



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक काम्रिकरण - मुक्सामू

Sr. No.	D Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana au Changes proposed	यो, कारन्यू, ती. विश्वाम गुरू, विवीचन लाईस, गुरुकाम, हरिवाणन Findings of the Authority
2.	Change in name of complainant from Sharwan Kumar Goyal (HUF) to Mehak at serial no. 2 in at page no. 1 of 20.	It is observed that the changes proposed by the applicant are valid as per perusal of documents on record.
	Change in complaint no. from 3074 to 3075 at serial no. 3 in table detailing details for cases.	

The application of the complainant for rectification of clerical error in the order dated 10.11.2022, is allowed. This order may be read in continuation of the order dated 10.11.2022.

Hence, the error is inadvertently in nature and is allowed to be rectified. The application for rectification is allowed. File be consigned to the registry

Sanjeev Kumar Arora Member

V-I-Vijay Kumar Goyal Member

11.07.2023