



**HARERA**  
**GURUGRAM**

**HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**GURUGRAM**

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

<b>PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY</b>		<b>36</b>
Day and Date	Friday and 17.11.2023	
Complaint No.	CR/379/2022 Case titled as Som Parkash Shrivastava VS Imperia Structure Limited	
Complainant	Som Parkash Shrivastava	
Represented through	Shri Rishi Saxena Advocate	
Respondent	Imperia Structure Limited	
Respondent Represented through	Shri Jagdeep Kumar Advocate with Shri Gaurav Katyal IRP in person	
Last date of hearing	08.09.2023	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

### **Proceedings**

The present complaint has been received on 22.02.2022. Reply on behalf of respondent has been received on 25.04.2023.

The counsel for the respondent and IRP present in person state that the matter is listed in NCLAT today itself, hence requests for a short adjournment. IRP further stated that the appeal has been filed by Ex-director of the respondent which is listed today in NCLAT and further clarified that as of now the moratorium is going on the entire company and there is no project wise CIRP proceedings going on.

The authority is of the view that the matter cannot be proceeded further till the moratorium is lifted on the company and further as per order of NCLAT dated 16.10.2023, it is clarified that IRP shall keep the corporate debtor as a going concern and take all necessary steps including the necessary payments, issue necessary approvals as required, hence authority is of the view that the matter be kept pending and adjourned till the clear cut directions of Hon'ble NCLAT in this matter.



**HARERA**  
**GURUGRAM**

**HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**GURUGRAM**

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

CR 13/1/2022


New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The counsel for the complainant states that IRP should be directed not to raise any demand. IRP submitted that he shall reply to it after reviewing records of the company since he is directed to keep the company as an on-going concern.

An interim order shall continue.

Matter to come up on **09.02.2024** for further proceedings.

  
Sanjeev Kumar Arora  
Member  
17.11.2023