

M/s Ansal Housing Ltd.  
Vs.  
Amit Kumar and Santosh Kumari  
359 of 2019

Present: Sh. Surjeet Bhadu, Advocate, ld. counsel for the appellant.

Respondent no. 1, Sh. Amit Kumar, in person for himself and respondent no. 2 his mother.

1. During the course of arguments, the settlement has taken place between the parties. Respondent no. 1 Sh. Amit Kumar for himself and for respondent no. 2 has made the following statement:

*“That the respondents will pay the additional EDC as per the decision of the Hon’ble High Court. That the respondents are ready to pay Rs. 10,000 to the appellant/ promoter towards the other charges mentioned in clause IX of the allotment letter within a week. The appellant/promoter should deliver the possession of plot to us without any delay. He is also ready to get conveyance deed executed as per the terms of the allotment letter except the other charges which we will pay to the extent of Rs. 10,000/-.”*

2. Ld. counsel for the appellant has also made the statement:-

*“That the appellant/promoter will deliver the possession of plot to the respondents within the period of two months from today. That in view of the statement made by the respondent no. 1, I do not want to proceed further with the appeal. The same may be dismissed as withdrawn. This statement shall confine only to the present case.”*

3. In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the present appeal is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Parties shall be bound by the statements made today before this Tribunal.

5. File be consigned to the records.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)  
Chairman,  
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,  
Chandigarh  
04.09.2019

Inderjeet Mehta  
Member (Judicial)  
04.09.2019

Anil Kumar Gupta  
Member (Technical)  
04.09.2019