



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 1397 OF 2021

Lajwanti Vashist

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

2. COMPLAINT NO. 1399 OF 2021

Rajesh Kumar

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

3. COMPLAINT NO. 1402 OF 2021

Roshan Lal Sharma

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

4. COMPLAINT NO. 1403 OF 2021

Arun Nandal

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

5. COMPLAINT NO. 438 OF 2022

Deepak Parashar

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

Rathore

6. COMPLAINT NO. 606 OF 2022

Vijay Sanghi

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:

**Nadim Akhtar
Dilbag Singh Sihag**

**Member
Member**

Date of Hearing: 17.01.2023

Hearing: 4th

Present: -

Mr. Sushil K. Malhotra, learned counsel for complainants
through video conference (in all complaints)

Ms. Rupali S. Verma, learned counsel for the respondent
through video conference (in all complaints)

ORDER (Dr. GEETA RATHEE SINGH - MEMBER)

1. Captioned complaints are taken up together as grievances involved are similar and directed against the same project of respondent promoter. Cases were heard at length and after hearing the arguments of both the parties, Authority had announced that present cases be disposed of in terms of complaint case no. 779 of 2020 titled Bhim Singh Pawar versus M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.
2. However, after perusing the documents available on record, Authority is not satisfied that the captioned complaints are similar to complaint

Geeta Rathee

case no. 779 of 2020 as in complaint no. 779 of 2020 unit no. of complainant along with name of project was mentioned in one of the documents annexed with complaint. However, in present cases receipts annexed with complaints shows that booking was made in 'Present and Future Project' and no proof has been placed on record depicting the name of the project or unit of the complainants. So, Authority decides to further hear the matters, giving an opportunity to complainants to prove that the booking was made in project named 'Parsvnath City, Rohtak', the possession of which is being claimed by them.

3. Adjourned to 29.03.2023.



.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]



.....
Dr. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]