



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

### SUO MOTTO COMPLAINT NO. 1263 of 2022

HRERA, PANCHKULA

...COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

1. Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran  
2. Sat Narain Bansal

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:** Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh  
Nadim Akhtar

Member  
Member

**Date of Hearing:** 31.01.2023

**Hearing:** 3<sup>rd</sup>

**Present:** - None for the complainant  
None for the respondent


#### **ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR-MEMBER)**

1. Above captioned complaint was instituted sou moto on 20.05.2022 for recovery of cost of ₹ 8,850/- payable to Authority by respondent no.1 i.e. Haryana Sheri Vikas Pradhikaran and cost of ₹3,850/- payable to Authority

by respondent no.2 i.e. Sat Narain Bansal towards appointment of local commissioner in complaint case no. 732 of 2020.

2. Perusal of the file shows that during the course of hearing dated 21.07.2022, Mr. Sat Narain Bansal respondent no.2 had deposited the amount of ₹3,850/-. However, respondent no.1 has not paid the amount of ₹8,850/- since the last two hearings. Now as per information received from the Accounts Branch of this Authority, the respondent has paid the amount of ₹8,850/- in favour of HRERA, Panchkula on 24.11.2022.

Therefore, since the whole amount for recovery of cost towards the appointment of local commissioner has been paid by the respondents to the Authority, The present complaint **disposed of as fully satisfied.** Order be uploaded on the website of Authority and files be consigned to record room.

  
.....  
Dr GEETA RATHEE SINGH  
[MEMBER]

  
.....  
NADIM AKHTAR  
[MEMBER]