



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 2272 OF 2022

Suman Sharma

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: **Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh** **Member**
 Nadim Akhtar **Member**

Date of Hearing: 31.01.2023

Hearing: 3rd

Present: - None for the complainant

Mr. Shubhnit Hans, Counsel for the respondent.

ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR- MEMBER)

1. Mr. Shubhnit Hans, learned counsel for respondent raised issue regarding the maintainability of present complaint stating that in the proforma- B of the complaint , complainant has mentioned the project in question to be 'Kingsbury ' whereas the project in which the unit of the complainant is situated is 'Tuscan' . Therefore, in present complaint project 'Kingsbury' cannot be considered as a valid project.

2. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the respondent the present complaint is dismissed with a liberty to complainant to file fresh complaint with correct facts. The fees paid for this complaint is allowed to be adjusted towards the fresh complaint, if so filed.
3. Case is **disposed of**. Order be uploaded on the website and file be consigned to record room.

Geeta Rathee

.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]

Nadim Akhtar

.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]