



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 200 OF 2022

(Reopened for deciding Rectification Application)

Mukesh Devi and Virender Kumar

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Ruhil Promoter Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM: Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Nadim Akhtar**

**Member
Member**

Date of Hearing: 08.02.2023

Hearing: 1st

**Present: - Sh. Saurabh Sachdeva, Learned counsel for the Complainant.
Ms. Navneet, Learned counsel for the respondent**

ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR -MEMBER)


1. Ld. counsel for the complainant filed the review/rectification application praying for the rectification of orders dated 10.08.2022 passed in the present

complaint whereby the captioned complaint was disposed of and respondent was directed to make fresh offer of possession along with delay interest to the complainant. Complainant in the present rectification application has raised the ground that his presence was not marked as he was attending hearing through video call. He prayed that relevant order dated 10.08.2022 may be amended/rectified to provide for the above mentioned error.

2. On perusal of order dated 10.08.2022, it is observed that respondent was directed to make fresh offer of possession along with delay interest to the complainant. On the other hand, none had appeared for the complainant on said date, therefore complainant presence was not marked. Further, Authority has perused the list of attendees, wherein complainant presence was also not marked. Therefore, rectification application filed by complainant is not allowed.
3. Furthermore, Authority under section 39 of the RERA Act, 2016 is mandated to rectify clerical mistakes apparent on the face of record. In the present application, no such error has been proved.
4. For the above stated reasons, the present rectification application is hereby dismissed.



.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]



.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]