

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

Complaint no.:	930 of 2022
Date of filing.:	09.05.2022
First date of hearing.:	02.08.2022
Date of decision.:	21.12.2022

Ram Ditta Ahuja 221 Deep Plaza

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure Pvt. Limited. 10 Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg New Delhi

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh

Member

Nadim Akhtar

Member

Date of Hearing: 21.12.2022

Hearing:

3rd

Present: -

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the complainant

through VC.

Mr. Shubhnit Hans, Ld. counsel for the respondent

### ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR- MEMBER)

1. Present complaints have been filed by complainants under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 (for short Act of 2016) read with Rule 28 of The Haryana Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Rules, 2017 for violation or contravention of the provisions of the Act of 2016 or the Rules and Regulations made thereunder, wherein it is inter-alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible to fulfil all the obligations, responsibilities and functions towards the allottee as per the terms agreed between them.

### A. Unit and Project Related Details:

2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainants, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the project.	Park Street, Sonipat Haryana
2.	Nature of the project.	Commercial Complex
3.	DTCP License no.	999/2006, 1000/2006, 10001/2006 & 1002/2006
4.	RERA Registered/not registered	Unregistered
5.	Details of unit.	Shop No. 35, Ground Floor,



6.	Date of Builder buyer agreement	Not mentioned
7.	Due date of possession	Not mentioned
8.	Basic sale consideration	₹ 21,55,027/-
9.	Amount paid by complainant	₹ 17,76,298/-
10.	Offer of possession.	None

#### B. FACTS OF THE COMPLAINT

3. Complainant in this case had booked a commercial unit in the project of the respondent namely "Park Street", Sonipat in the year 2006 for a total sale consideration of ₹ 21,55,027/- against which the complainant has paid an amount of ₹ 17,86,298/- till March 2019. Both parties entered into a buyers agreement for sale of Shop/unit no. 35, GF admeasuring area of 453.69 sq.ft. As per the buyers agreement possession of the unit should have been delivered in 24 months after sanctioning of building plans. It is pertinent to mention that no date has been mentioned in the buyers agreement executed between the parties. It is alleged by the complainant that more than 15 years have passed from the date of booking but the respondent is not in a position to deliver possession since the project is still under construction.

#### C. RELIEF SOUGHT

 Therefore, complainant has filed present complaint seeking refund of the paid amount along with interest as per provisions of SEction 18 of the RERA Act.

## D. REPLY SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT

5. Respondent in its written submission submitted that the project in question is being developed by the respondent and possession of the unit will be delivered by the respondent with all amenities as promised. The delay caused in handing over the possession is not solely attributed to the respondent as it has always been tentative and subject to force majeure conditions. Respondent has not denied the amount claimed by complainant as having been paid to respondent in lieu of booked unit. However, it has been submitted that complainant in present complaint has defaulted on several accounts with regard to timely payment of instalments despite being issued various demand/ reminder letters and is thus not entitled to any relief. Copy of reminder letters is annexed as Annexure R-2(colly). The construction of the project is in full swing and is at advanced stage of completion.

### E. ARGUMENTS OF LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDNET

6. During course of oral hearing, learned counsel for the respondent made oral submission that respondent is not in a position to offer possession of the booked unit to the complainant, therefore, Authority may pass orders as it deems fit.

### F. JURISDICTION OF THE AUTHORITY

 Authority observes that it has territorial as well as subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate the present complaint.

#### F.1 Territorial Jurisdiction

As per notification no. 1/92/2017 ITCP dated 14.12.2017 issued by Town and Country Planning Department, the jurisdiction of Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Panchkula hall be entire Haryana except Gurugram District for all purpose with offices situated in Panchkula. In the present case the project in question is situated within the planning area Rewari district. Therefore, this Authority has complete territorial jurisdiction to deal with the present complaint.

# F.2 Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Section 11(4)(a) of the Act, 2016 provides that the promoter shall be responsible to the allottees as per agreement tor sale Section 11(4)(a) is reproduced as hereunder:

Section 11(4)(a)

Be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions under the provisions of this Act or the rules and regulations made thereunder or to the allottees as per the agreement for sale, or to the association of allottees, as the case may be, till the conveyance of all the apartments, plots or buildings, as the case may be, to the allotees or the common areas to the association of allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be;

Section 34-Functions of the Authority

34(f) of the Act provides to ensure complaiene of the obligations cast upon the promoters, the allottees and the real estate agents under this Act and the rules and regulations made thereunder.

So, in view of the provisions of the Act of 2016 quoted above, the Authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint regarding non-compliance of obligations by the promoter leaving aside compensation which is to be decided by learned Adjudicating Officer if pursued by the complainants at a later stage.

## G. ISSUES FOR ADJUDICATION

8. Whether the complainant is entitled to refund of amount deposited by him along with interest in terms of Section 18 of Act of 2016?

#### H. OBSERVATIONS OF THE AUTHORITY

9. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the respondent, Authority observes that complainant in this case is interested in seeking refund of the amount paid to the respondent along with interest. Also, learned counsel for the respondent has stated that possession of the booked unit cannot be offered to the complainant. Therefore, in such situation, Authority finds it to be fit case for allowing refund in favour of complainant. As per Section 18 of Act, interest shall be awarded at such rate as may be prescribed. Rule 15 of HRERA Rules, 2017 provides for prescribed rate of interest which is as under:

"Rule 15: Interest payable by promoter and Allottee. [Section 19] - An allottee shall be compensated by the promoter for loss or damage sustained due to incorrect or false statement in the notice, advertisement, prospectus or brochure in the terms of section 12. In case, allottee wishes withdraw from the project due discontinuance of promoter's business developers on account of suspension or revocation of the registration or any other reason(s) in terms of clause (b) sub-section (1) of Section 18 or the promoter fails to give possession of the apartment/ plot in accordance with terms and conditions of agreement for sale in terms of sub-section (4) of section 19. The promoter shall return the entire amount with interest as well as the compensation payable. The rate of interest payable by the promoter to the allottee or by the allottee to the promoter, as the



case may be, shall be the State Bank of India highest marginal cost of lending rate plus two percent. In case, the allottee fails to pay to the promoter as per agreed terms and conditions, then in such case, the allottee shall also be liable to pay in terms of sub-section (7) of section 19:

Provided that in case the State Bank of India marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR) is not in use, it shall be replaced by such benchmark lending rates which the State Bank of India may fix from time to time for lending to the general public."

- 10. The legislature in its wisdom in the subordinate legislation under the provisions of Rule 15 of the Rules, has determined the prescribed rate of interest. The rate of interest so determined by the legislature, is reasonable and if the said rule is followed to award the interest, it will ensure uniform practice in all the cases.
- 11. Consequently, as per website of the state Bank of India i.e. <a href="https://sbi.co.in">https://sbi.co.in</a>, the marginal cost of lending rate (in short MCLR) as on date i.e. 20.12.2022 is 8.60%. Accordingly, the prescribed rate of interest will be MCLR + 2% i.e. 10.60%.
- 12. The definition of term 'interest' is defined under Section 2(za) of the Act which is as under:
  - (za) "interest" means the rates of interest payable by the promoter or the allottee, as the case may be,

Explanation.-For the purpose of this clause-

- (i) the rate of interest chargeable from the allottee by the promoter, in case of default, shall be equal to the rate of interest which the promoter shall be liable to pay the allottee, in case of default;
- (ii) the interest payable by the promoter to the allottee shall be from the date the promoter received the amount or any part thereof till the date the amount or part thereof and interest thereon is refunded, and the interest payable by the allottee to the promoter shall be from the date the allottee defaults in payment to the promoter till the date it is paid;

Accordingly, respondent will be liable to pay the complainant interest from the date amounts were paid by him till the actual realization of the amount.

Hence, Authority directs respondent to refund to the complainant the paid amount of ₹ 17,76,298/- along with interest at the rate prescribed in Rule 15 of Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 i.e at the rate of SBI highest marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR)+ 2 % [10.60% (8.60% + 2.00%)] from the date amounts were paid till the actual realization of the amount. Authority has got calculated the total amount along with interest at the rate of 10.60% till the date of this order and said amount works out to ₹ 39,33,523/- (₹17,76,298/-+ ₹21,57,225/-).

13. The amount of interest payable to complainant has been calculated on a total amount of ₹ 17,76,298/-. In the complaint file, complainant has claimed to have paid an amount of ₹ 17,86,298/- however, complainant

land

has failed to attach receipts of requisite amount. Respondent in it reply has admitted to having received an amount of ₹ 17,76,298/-, relevant statement of accounts has been attached at page 31 of reply file. Therefore, an amount of ₹ 17,76,298/- is deemed to have been paid by the complainant to the respondent and the same is taken into consideration for calculating interest.

## I. DIRECTIONS OF THE AUTHORITY

- 14. Hence, the Authority hereby passes this order and issues following directions under Section 37 of the Act to ensure compliance of obligation cast upon the promoter as per the function entrusted to the Authority under Section 34(f) of the Act of 2016:
  - (i) Respondent is directed to refund the entire amount of
    ₹ 39,33,523/- to the complainant.
  - (ii) A period of 90 days is given to the respondent to comply with the directions given in this order as provided in Rule 16 of Haryana Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Rules, 2017 failing which legal consequences would follow.

Complaint no. 930 of 2022

15. The complaint is, accordingly, <u>disposed of</u>. File be consigned to the record room and order be uploaded on the website of the Authority

DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]

> NADIM AKHTAR [MEMBER]