Sandeep Tomar V/s M/s Vatika Ltd.

## Appeal No. 176 of 2020

## Present: Shri Drupad Sangwan, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the appellant (through Whatsaap Call).

Ms. Navneet Kaur, ld. proxy counsel for Shri Kamaljeet Dahiya, Advocate, ld. counsel for the respondent. .

Ld. counsel for the appellant and ld. proxy counsel for the respondent have stated at bar that the matter has been amicable settled between the parties in terms of settlement agreement dated 24.06.2022.

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent has placed on file the settlement agreement dated 24.06.2022. The same is taken on record. She has also confirmed the factum regarding amicable settlement between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid statement made at bar by ld. counsel for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of as a result of amicable settlement between the parties.

Both the parties will remain bound with the stipulation of the settlement agreement dated 24.06.2022. The settlement agreement dated 24.06.2022 shall form the part of this order.

File be consigned to the records.

Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial) Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Chandigarh

> Anil Kumar Gupta Member (Technical)

14.12.2022 <sub>Rajni</sub>