

Raj Kumar Singhal  
V/s  
Vatika Ltd.

Appeal No. 27 of 2021

Present: Shri Yashpal Sharma, ld. proxy counsel for Shri Shivansh Malik, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Shri Yashvir Singh Balhara, Advocate, ld. counsel for the respondent. .

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant and ld. counsel for the respondent have stated at bar that the matter has been amicable settled between the parties in terms of settlement agreement dated 22.11.2022.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has placed on file the settlement agreement dated 22.11.2022. The same is taken on record. He has also confirmed the factum regarding amicable settlement between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid statement made at bar by ld. counsel for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of as a result of amicable settlement between the parties.

Both the parties will remain bound with the stipulation of the settlement agreement dated 22.11.2022. The settlement agreement dated 22.11.2022 shall form the part of this order.

File be consigned to the records.

Inderjeet Mehta  
Member (Judicial)  
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal  
Chandigarh

Anil Kumar Gupta  
Member (Technical)

23.11.2022  
rajni