

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 371 OF 2020

Dharampal and Another

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Asian Developers .Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:

Rajan Gupta

Chairman Member

Dilbag Singh Sihag

Date of Hearing: 28.06.2022

Hearing:

11th

Present:-

Mr. Akshat Mittal, Counsel for complainant

None for respondent no. 1

Mr. Pranav Proothi, Counsel for respondent no. 2.

ORDER (RAJAN GUPTA-CHAIRMAN)

Present complaint relates to execution of orders dated 27.11.2019 passed by this Authority in complaint no. 2475 of 2019 whereby Authority had decided to dispose of said complaint in terms of Complaint No 513 of 2018 titled "Sunil Yadav and Laxman Yadav Vs Saera Auto India Pvt Ltd ". In captioned complaint M/S Asian Developers Ltd. and M/s Saera Auto India Pvt. Ltd. have been impleaded as respondents.

As per order dated 27.11.2019 both the respondents were held liable jointly and severally to refund an amount of ₹ 24,95,072/- to the complainant along with interest of ₹ 29,63,253/- till 25.02.2020.



Total amount payable to the complainants, thus, worked out as ₹ 54,58,325/- as on that date. Complainants have not yet received this amount and hence, present execution.

- 2. Notice dated 12.03.2020 sent to respondent no. 1 namely M/S Asian Developers Ltd. was received back for want of correct address whereas notice to respondent no. 2 i.e Saera Auto India Pvt Ltd. was successfully delivered on 14.03.2020. During the hearing dated 06.01.2022 learned counsel for complainant requested the Authority that respondent no. 1 may be served by way of publication in newspaper. Accordingly, complainants deposited ₹ 5,000/- in the office of the Authority vide demand draft dated 21.01.2022.
- 2. Pursuant to the same, notice was published in Indian Express on 04.03.2022 against respondent no. 1 namely Asian Developers Ltd to appear before Authority on 30.03.2022 and prosecute its case. None has appeared on behalf of respondent till date.
- 3. Today, Shri Akshat Mittal, learned counsel for complainant submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the complainants and respondent no. 2 and that the complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint. Shri Pranav Proothi, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 agreed with the same.

9

4. In view of submission of both parties, present complaint has become infructuous. Therefore, case is disposed of. Order be uploaded on the website and files be consigned to record room.

RAJAN GUPTA [CHAIRMAN]

DILBAG SINGH SIHAG [MEMBER]