

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY	
Day and Date	Wednesday and 24.04.2019
Complaint No.	1188/2018 Case Titled As Dipika Jain V/S Orris Infrastructure Pvt Ltd & Three C Shelters Pvt Ltd
Complainant	Dipika Jain
Represented through	Shri Dilip Kumar authorized representative on behalf of the complainant.
Respondent	M/S Orris Infrastructure Pvt Ltd & Three C Shelters Pvt Ltd
Respondent Represented	None for the respondent
Last date of hearing	First hearing
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S. L. Chanana

Proceedings

Complaint was filed on 21.11.2018. Notices w.r.t. reply to the complaint were issued to the respondent on 22.11.2018, 10.12.2018 and 24.12.2018. Besides this, a penalty of Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- was also imposed on 10.12.2018 and on 24.12.2018 for non-filing of reply even after service of notices. However, despite due and proper service of notices, the respondent neither filed the reply nor come present before the authority. From the above stated conduct of the respondent, it appears that respondent does not want to pursue the matter before the authority by way of making personal appearance by adducing and producing any material particulars in the matter. As such, the authority has no option but to proceed ex-parte



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

against the respondent and to decide the matter on merits by taking into a count legal/factual propositions, as raised, by the complainant in his complaint.

A final notice dated 2.4.2019 by way of email was sent to both the parties to appear before the authority on 24.4.2019.

Complaint bearing No.225 of 2018, titled as Greenopolis Welfare Association versus M/s Orris Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd and another has already been decided in a representative capacity. Since the subject matter is the same/identical, as such the decision in the above stated complaint shall be read in this complaint also. File be consigned to the registry.

Samir Kumar (Member) 24.04.2019 Subhash Chander Kush (Member)