



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1914 OF 2019

Anil Chahal

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: Rajan Gupta

Chairman

Dilbag Singh Sihag

Member

Date of Hearing: 01.06.2022

Hearing: 14th

Present: - None for the complainant.

Mr. Shubhnit Hans, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC.

ORDER (RAJAN GUPTA-CHAIRMAN)

1. Today is 14th hearing. After perusal of record, it is observed that on 13.10.2021, Complainant who was present in Court along with his counsel Mr. N. K. Gautam had sought time to file application for amendment of relief

clause i.e. **from seeking possession to refund of already paid amount** along with interest. Authority had accepted request of the complainant and granted an opportunity to complainant to do the needful and case was adjourned to 07.12.2022. On 07.12.2022, Mr. Sudeep Singh, Advocate appeared on behalf of the complainant and stated that **complainant does not want refund of the amount deposited by him instead he is seeking possession of the allotted unit** along with interest for delay in delivery of possession. Therefore, Authority vide its order dated 07.12.2022 observed that on each date of hearing complainant is shifting stand regarding relief he is seeking from the Authority. A last opportunity was granted to complainant to decide the relief he wish to seek from the Authority and the case was adjourned to 08.02.2022. On last date of hearing i.e. on 08.02.2022, neither complainant nor his counsel appeared to inform the Court as to what relief the complainant wants to seek from Authority. Only an email was sent by complainant's counsel, seeking adjournment of the case.

3. Today again, none has appeared on behalf of the complainant. Despite repeated opportunities given by Authority, complainant till date has neither filed any application for amendment of relief clause of complaint nor filed any affidavit regarding the same nor he or his representative is present in the Court. It appears that the complainant is not serious in prosecuting the present complaint. The complaint is therefore, ordered to be **dismissed for**



non-prosecution. However, complainant will be at liberty to file a fresh complaint. File be consigned to record room and the order be uploaded on website.



.....
RAJANGUPTA
[CHAIRMAN]



.....
DILBAG SINGH SIHAG
[MEMBER]

