## M/s TDI Infrastructure Vs. Satish Kumar Dahiya

## Appeal No. 570 of 2021

Present: Shri Rajnish Kumar Singh, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Today, the appellant was required to deposit the amount as calculated by the office of this Tribunal to comply with the provisions of proviso to Section 43(5) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016.

However, ld. counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has moved an application under Section 39 of the Act before the ld. Authority for rectification of the impugned order dated 06.07.2021 passed by the ld. Authority. He has further submitted that since the appellant has preferred the application for rectification of the impugned order, so, the present appeal may be allowed to withdraw with liberty to file the same as per law.

Ordered accordingly.

File be consigned to the record.

Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial) Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Chandigarh

> Anil Kumar Gupta Member (Technical)

09.09.2022 rajni