

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह सिविल लाईस गुरुग्राम हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY 6	
ay and Date	Tuesday and 30.08.2022
Complaint Nos.	CR/4655/2020 Case titled as Ashis Kumar Dhimam and Anr V/s M/Vatika Ltd. & Anr.
	CR/4698/2020: Arun Sharma And Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4700/2020: Madan Singh And Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4736/2020: Biswajit Acharya And Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4760/2020: Himanshu Pachauri V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4778/2020: Anjali Rathore And Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4815/2020: Vinod Kumar Agarwal V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4823/2020: Amit Kumar Gupta V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4838/2020: Gaurav Kumar And Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4853/2020: Sharad Drolia V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4856/2020: Manoj Taneja V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/4860/2020: Himanshu Arora V/S Vatika Limited



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू—संपदा विनियामक प्राधिक

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

CR/4875/2020: Pritesh Saparia V/S Vatika Limited

CR/4900/2020: Vikas Jindal and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/4903/2020: Umar Shafi Banday and Ors V/S Vatika Limited

CR/173/2021: Ashish Kumar Aggarwal V/S Vatika Limited

CR/221/2021; Mukta Singh and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/314/2021: Kapil Tiwari and Ors V/S Vatika Limited

CR/315/2021: Rahul Arora and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/318/2021: Rishab Rohit Jain and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/403/2021: Sudhir Nayyar and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/404/2021: Rahul Sahi and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/413/2021: Ayush Vardhan Agarwal V/S Vatika Limited

CR/567/2021: Ruchir Chawla and Anr V/S Vatika Limited

CR/1043/2021: Anurag Singh Nirmal V/S Vatika Limited



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुगाम 2020

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह सिविल लाईस गुरुग्राम हरियाणा

	CR/1905/2021: Ketav Sharma V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/1908/2021: Arvind Pandey and Anr V/S Vatika Limited
	CR/2728/2021: Ashish Malhan V/S Vatika Limited
Complainants	Arun Sharma
	Madan Singh
	Biswajit Acharya
	Himanshu Pachauri
	Anjali Rathore
	Vinod Kumar Agarwal
	Amit Kumar Gupta
	Gaurav Kumar
	Sharad Drolia
	Manoj Taneja
	Himanshu Arora
	Pritesh Saparia
	Vikas Jindal and Anr
	Umar Shafi Banday and Ors
	Ashish Kumar Aggarwal
	Mukta Singh and Anr
	Kapil Tiwari and Ors
	Rahul Arora and Anr
	Rishab Rohit Jain and Anr
	Sudhir Nayyar and Anr
	Rahul Sahi and Anr



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह. सिविल लाईस. गुरुग्राम. हरियाणा

The project seems to be abandoned one as neither any progress w.r.t. the same has been received nor there is anything on the record to show the construction being carried out at the spot by the promoter. The project was launched by the respondent after obtaining a license in this regard from DTCP, Haryana vide license No. 91 of 2013 dated 26.10.2013. The allotment of the unit was made in favour of the allottees and the due date for completion of the project was fixed upto the validity of registration which comes to the year 2025. More than about 9 years from the date of the booking of the units have already expired. There is no hope that construction of the project is likely to be completed by the target date. It is unlikely that the project having number of units with multiple stories is likely to be completed within a short span leading to an inference to project being abandoned.

The Enquiry Officer Shri Ramesh Kumar retired DSP appointed during the last hearing to enquire about the above project has submitted a preliminary enquiry report which is taken on record. The counsel for both the parties request for supply of its copy, accordingly a copy be supplied to both the counsels for the parties today itself.

Further, the respondent is directed to submit a proposal for payment of refund to be made in the above project as there no possibility of its completion in the foreseen able future inspite of a lapse of more than 9 years since allotment of the units of above project.

The respondent No.2 is a financial institution who has extended loans to the allottees and shall submit the list of the loan amount as well as outstanding dues in respect of concerned allottees, which are to be considered while making refund to allottees by the promoter-respondent.

Matter to come up on 19.10.2022 for further proceedings.

Vijay Kumar Goyal Member

Dr. KK Khandelwal Chairman 30.08.2022

b/m+