Shagufta Yasmin and another Vs. M/s Vatika Ltd. Appeal No.168 of 2019

Present: Ms. Manjit Bajwa, Advocate, Ld. counsel for appellant No.1.

> Shri Angad Singh, Advocate, Ld. proxy counsel for Shri Pavinder Singh Bedi, Advocate, Ld. counsel for appellant No.2 (through WhatsApp video).

Shri Ankit Chahal, Ld. proxy counsel for Shri Venket Rao, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Manjit Bajwa, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney on behalf of appellant No.1.

Ms. Manjit Bajwa, Advocate, Ld. counsel for appellant No.1, Shri Angad Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Shri Pavinder Singh Bedi, Advocate, Ld. counsel for appellant No.2 and Shri Ankit Chahal, Ld. proxy counsel for Shri Venket Rao, Advocate, Ld. counsel for respondent have confirmed the factum of the amicable settlement which has already arrived at amongst the parties.

Ms. Manjit Bajwa, Advocate, Ld. counsel for appellant No.1 has stated that the appellant No.1 has received the due amount from the respondent and now she does not want to pursue the present appeal.

A similar statement has been made by Shri Angad Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for appellant No.2 after seeking instruction from original counsel, Shri Pavinder Singh Bedi, Advocate, who appeared through WhatsApp Video, that appellant No.2 also does not intend to pursue the present appeal further.

Both the counsel for the appellants have stated, at bar, that the present appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn Ordered accordingly.

Copy of this order be conveyed to the parties/Ld. counsel for the parties and Ld. Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram.

File be consigned to the record.

Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial) Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Chandigarh

> Anil Kumar Gupta Member (Technical)

September 07, 2022 Manoj Rana