

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY	
Day and Date	Friday and 08.02.2019
Complaint No.	877/2018 case titled as Vinit Jain Vs M/s Adel Landmarks Ltd.
Complainant	Vinit Jain
Represented through	None for the complainant
Respondent	M/s Adel Landmarks Ltd.
Respondent Represented	None for the respondent
Last date of hearing	First hearing
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S.L.Chanana

Proceedings

Project is not registered with the authority.

Since the project is not registered, as such, notice under section 59 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016, for violation of section 3(1) of the Act be issued to the respondent. Registration branch is directed to do the needful.

Arguments heard.

Complaint was filed on 14.09.2018. Notices w.r.t. reply to the complaint were issued to the respondent on 24.09.2018, 19.12.2018 and 05.01.2019. Besides this, a penalty of Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- was also imposed on 19.12.2018 and on 05.01.2019 for non-filing of reply even after service of notices. However, despite due and proper service of notices, the respondent neither filed the reply nor come present before the authority. From the above stated conduct of the respondent, it appears that respondent



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

does not want to pursue the matter before the authority by way of making personal appearance by adducing and producing any material particulars in the matter. As such, the authority has no option but to proceed ex-parte against the respondent and to decide the matter on merits by taking into a count legal/factual propositions, as raised, by the complainant in his complaint.

A final notice dated 31.01.2019 by way of email was sent to both the parties to appear before the authority on 08.02.2019.

Matter called out twice, but none is present on behalf of the complainant. It appears that the complainant is not interested to pursue his complaint. Accordingly, the complainant stands dismissed in default for non-appearance of the complainant.

File be consigned to the registry.

Samir Kumar (Member) 8.2.2019 Subhash Chander Kush (Member)