Pivotal Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. V/s Bhupesh Mittal

Appeal No. 82 of 2020

Present: Sh. Rohan Gupta, Advocate, ld. counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Peeyush Bansal, Advocate, Authorised Representative.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

Respondent has sent an email i.e. a response to the

appeal filed by the appellant/promoter. There is no provision

for taking reply to the grounds of appeal. So, this response sent

by the respondent/allottee is not being taken on record.

Moreover, the issues raised therein are with respect to the

calculations of the amount which is not to be determined by

this Tribunal in the present appeal. Rather, this matter is to be

adjudicated upon by the ld. Authority which is already

executing the order passed in complaint no. 590 of 2018 titled

as 2018 titled as "Bhupesh Mittal Versus M/s Pivotal

Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd."

It is evident from the order of the notice of motion that

the grievances raised by the appellant/promoter was with

respect to the directions given by the ld. Authority for holding

charges mentioned in para no. 3(iii) of the impugned order

dated 17.12.2019.

Complaint no. 590 of 2018 filed by the respondent/

allottee was disposed of in terms of the findings /directions

given by the Ld. Authority in complaint no. 49 of 2018 titled as

"Parkash Chand Arohi Versus M/s Pivotal Infrastructure Pvt.

Ltd". It has been fairly conceded at bar by both the parties that

in Parkash Chand Arohi (Supra), there was no directions by the

ld. Authority with respect to the holding charges. It is settled principle of law that the Executing Court cannot travel beyond the decree, so, the ld. Authority was not competent to issue the directions in the impugned order dated 17.12.2019 with respect to the holding charges. So, the directions given by the ld. Authority in para no. 3(iii) of the impugned order dated 17.12.2019 is beyond the scope of the execution of the order passed in complaint no. 590 of 2018 and this direction cannot be sustained in the eyes of law.

Consequently, the same is hereby set-aside, however, the execution proceedings with respect to the remaining claims shall proceed in accordance with law. Obviously, the rights of the parties with respect to the payment of holding charges will be governed as per the terms and conditions of the buyer's agreement.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

File be consigned to the records.

Copy of this order be sent to both the parties and the ld.

Authority for compliance.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.) Chairman, Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Chandigarh

> Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial)

Anil Kumar Gupta Member (Technical)

18.08.2020 Rajni