

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY	
Day and Date	Thursday and 18.04.2019
Complaint No.	1250/2018 Case Titled As Apoorv Jain V/S Orris Infrastructure Pvt Ltd And Three C Shelters Pvt Ltd
Complainant	Apoorv Jain
Represented through	None for the complainant
Respondent	Orris Infrastructure Pvt Ltd And Three C Shelters Pvt Ltd
Respondent Represented through	None for the respondent
Last date of hearing	First hearing
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S. L. Chanana

## **Proceedings**

## Project is not registered with the authority.

Since the project is not registered, notice under section 59 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016, for violation of section 3(1) of the Act be issued to the respondent. Registration branch is directed to do the needful.

Complaint was filed on 29.11.2018. Notices w.r.t. reply to the complaint were issued to the respondent on 1.12.2018, 14.12.2018 and 1.1.2019. Besides this, a penalty of Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- was also imposed on 14.12.2018 and on 1.1.2019 for non-filing of reply even after service of notices. However, despite due and proper service of notices, the respondent neither filed the reply nor come present before the authority.



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

From the above stated conduct of the respondent, it appears that respondent does not want to pursue the matter before the authority by way of making personal appearance by adducing and producing any material particulars in the matter. As such, the authority has no option but to proceed ex-parte against the respondent and to decide the matter on merits by taking into a count legal/factual propositions, as raised, by the complainant in his complaint.

A final notice dated 30.3.2019 by way of email was sent to both the parties to appear before the authority on 18.4.2019

Today the case was fixed for arguments but the parties are not present. Waited sufficiently. It seems that the parties are not serious in pursuing the complaint. Accordingly, complaint is dismissed in **default for non-appearance** of the complainant. File be consigned to the registry.

Samir Kumar (Member) 18.04.2019 Subhash Chander Kush (Member)